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## 30.000 ALCOHOL AND ALCOHOLISM

- **30.001 - ALCOHOL/DRUG IMPAIRED DRIVERS**
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- **35.001 - AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF MEDICAL ASSISTANTS**
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- **35.003 - DIAGNOSIS BY OPTOMETRISTS**
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240.000 HOSPITALS: REIMBURSEMENT 63

240.001 - LIENS FOR HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL SERVICES 63

245.000 INFANT HEALTH 63

245.001 - AUTOPSIES 64
245.002 - DIAGNOSIS AND AUTOPSIES OF SUDDEN AND UNEXPECTED DEATHS 64
245.005 - MANDATORY NEWBORN HEARING SCREENING 64
245.006 - ONE WEB PORTAL FOR NEWBORN REPORTS 64

260.000 LABORATORIES 64

260.001 - ENDORSEMENT OF THE COMMISSION ON OFFICE LABORATORY ACCREDITATION (COLA) PROGRAM 64

270.000 LEGISLATION AND REGULATION 64

270.002 - FEDERAL REGULATION OF PRIVATE SYSTEM 64
270.003 - FEDERAL REGULATIONS 65
270.004 - HARASSMENT 65
270.006 - PHYSICIAN INVOLVEMENT IN STATE LEGISLATIVE ADVOCACY 65
270.008 - REQUESTS FROM STATE LEGISLATORS 65
270.009 - SUPPORT OF COUNTERSUITES 66
270.010 - USE OF "PHYSICIAN" 66
270.011 - VIRGINIA BIRTH-RELATED NEUROLOGICAL INJURY COMPENSATION PROGRAM 66

275.000 LICENSURE AND DISCIPLINE 66

275.002 - CHIROPRACTIC LICENSURE UNDER THE BOARD OF MEDICINE 66
275.003 - DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH PROFESSIONS 66
275.004 - LICENSURE OF MANAGED CARE DECISION MAKERS 67
275.006 - MEDICAL DECISION MAKING 67
275.007 - MEDICAL LICENSE LINKAGE TO HOSPITAL ER CALL 67
275.008 - MEDICAL LICENSE LINKAGE WITH MEDICARE/ MEDICAID PARTICIPANTS 67
275.009 - PLAN EXPULSION AND LICENSURE BOARD DISCIPLINE 67
275.011 - QUALIFICATIONS FOR THE STATE HEALTH COMMISSIONER 68
275.013 - USE OF TITLE “DR.” 68
275.014 - BOARD OF MEDICINE SANCTIONS 68
275.015 - INTERSTATE LICENSURE COMPACT IN VIRGINIA 68
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285.000 MANAGED CARE

285.001 - ACCESS TO SURGICAL SERVICES 68
285.002 - BALANCE BILLING 69
285.003 - CAPITATION 69
285.004 - MANAGED CARE AND PATIENT CHOICE 69
285.005 - MEDICAL UTILIZATION REVIEW 70
285.006 - MOST FAVORED NATION CLAUSES 70
285.007 - PAYMENT OF SURGICAL PROCEDURES 70
285.008 - PRINCIPLES OF MANAGED CARE 70
285.009 - PROHIBITION OF NONDISCLOSURE CLAUSES 74
285.010 - REMOVAL OF PHYSICIANS FROM INSURANCE PLANS "WITHOUT CAUSE" 75
285.011 - REVIEW POLICIES OF INSURANCE COMPANIES 75
285.012 - SUPPORT OF NORTHERN VIRGINIA SOCIETIES 75
285.013 - THE CREDENTIALING OF PHYSICIANS BY INSURANCE COMPANIES AND OTHER THIRD PARTIES AND COMPETITION IN THE HEALTH CARE MARKET PLACE 75
285.015 - USE OF EMPLOYEES; TRANSMIT ORDERS 76

290.000 MEDICAID

290.001 - 60 DAY RECERTIFICATION OF MEDICAID PATIENTS 76
290.002 - COMMUNICATIONS 76
290.003 - FUNDING FOR MEDICAID 76
290.004 - INCREASED REIMBURSEMENT 76
290.005 - INCREASED REIMBURSEMENT; UNDERSERVED AREAS 77
290.006 - MEDICAID CUTS 77
290.008 - EXPAND MEDICAID UNDER THE ACA 77

300.000 MEDICAL EDUCATION: CONTINUING

300.001 - CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION 77
300.002 - MAINTENANCE OF CERTIFICATION 77
300.004 - MAINTENANCE OF CERTIFICATION COMPLETELY VOLUNTARY 79
300.005 – PROHIBIT THE USE OF MOC AS A MEANS TO LIMIT PHYSICIANS’ SCOPE OF PRACTICE 79
300.006 - OPIOID PRESCRIBING EDUCATION 83

305.000 MEDICAL EDUCATION: FINANCING AND SUPPORT

305.001 - COLLECTION OF OVERDUE DEBTS 83
305.002 - EMS/GME FUNDING 83
305.003 - MEDICAL EDUCATION FUNDING AND SUPPORT OF ACADEMIC CENTERS 84
305.004 - NEW PROGRAMS OF ASSISTANCE 84
305.005 - STATE LOAN PROGRAM 84
305.006 - STATE SUPPORT OF MEDICAL EDUCATION 84
305.007 - MEDICAL STUDENT DEBT AND LOAN INTEREST RATES 84
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>305.008</td>
<td>GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION FUNDING AND RESIDENCY SLOTS</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305.009</td>
<td>INCREASING FUNDING FOR RESIDENCY TRAINING</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305.010</td>
<td>HOUSE STAFF DEPRESSION</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305.011</td>
<td>BURNOUT AND SUICIDE PREVENTION</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305.012</td>
<td>EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE STEP 2 CLINICAL SKILLS EXAM</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315.000</td>
<td>MEDICAL RECORDS</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315.001</td>
<td>RELEASE FORM INFORMATION</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320.000</td>
<td>MEDICAL REVIEW</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320.001</td>
<td>MEDICAL NECESSITY/PRACTICE OF MEDICINE</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320.002</td>
<td>REVIEW AGENTS/HOLD HARMLESS</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.000</td>
<td>MEDICARE</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.001</td>
<td>EXTRAPOLATION OF MEDICARE CHART AUDITS AND POST-AUDIT REFUNDS</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.002</td>
<td>HOSPITAL STAFF PRIVILEGES</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.003</td>
<td>MEDICARE CARRIER ADVISORY COMMITTEE</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.004</td>
<td>MEDICARE FEES</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.005</td>
<td>MEDICARE PRESCRIPTION DRUG BENEFITS</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.006</td>
<td>MEDICARE PRIVATE CONTRACTING</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.007</td>
<td>MEDICARE REIMBURSEMENT FOR MEDICATION</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.008</td>
<td>MEDICARE SURETY BONDS</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.009</td>
<td>RBRVS FOR MEDICAID AND FAMIS PEDIATRIC REIMBURSEMENT IN VIRGINIA</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345.000</td>
<td>MENTAL HEALTH</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345.001</td>
<td>CHANGES IN COMMITMENT LAW; FUNDING</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345.003</td>
<td>FUNDING; PUBLIC MENTAL HEALTH FACILITIES</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345.004</td>
<td>MENTAL HEALTH PARITY</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345.005</td>
<td>NONDISCRIMINATORY REIMBURSEMENT</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345.006</td>
<td>NON-Psychiatrist Prescribing Medicines</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345.007</td>
<td>Psychiatrists: State Hospital and Clinics</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345.009</td>
<td>Training/Child Psychiatry</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360.000</td>
<td>NURSES AND NURSING</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360.001</td>
<td>MSV SUPPORT OF RESOLVING NURSING SHORTAGE</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360.002</td>
<td>NURSING EDUCATION</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365.000</td>
<td>OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Policy Description</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365.001</td>
<td>Workers’ Compensation: Collection of Attorneys’ Fees</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365.002</td>
<td>Peer Review of Disputed Physician Fees</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375.000</td>
<td>Peer Review</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375.001</td>
<td>Peer Review of Utilization</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375.002</td>
<td>Peer Review</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380.000</td>
<td>Physician Fees</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380.001</td>
<td>Administrative Fees in Medical Offices</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380.002</td>
<td>Equal Reimbursement for Urban and Rural Areas</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380.003</td>
<td>Fee Guidelines</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380.004</td>
<td>Financial Incentives/Under or Overutilization</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380.005</td>
<td>Reimbursement of Rural Practitioners</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380.006</td>
<td>Responsible Party</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385.000</td>
<td>Physician Payment</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385.001</td>
<td>Payment for Electronic Services</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385.002</td>
<td>Physician Reimbursement for Telephone Consultations</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385.003</td>
<td>Worker’s Compensation Reimbursement</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390.000</td>
<td>Physician Payment: Medicare</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390.002</td>
<td>Increased Reimbursement; Underserved Areas</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390.003</td>
<td>Payment for Physician Surgical Assistants</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390.004</td>
<td>Physician/Patient Communications</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405.000</td>
<td>Physicians</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405.001</td>
<td>Dissemination of Inflammatory Information</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405.002</td>
<td>Physician Profiling</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405.003</td>
<td>Physician-Specific Information</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410.000</td>
<td>Practice Parameters</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410.001</td>
<td>Coverage Limitations on Physician Scope of Practice</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415.000</td>
<td>Preferred Provider Arrangements</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415.001</td>
<td>Any Willing Provider</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
420.000  PREGNANCY

420.001 - MATERNITY CARE PROGRAM  95
420.002 - POST-DELIVERY CARE FOR MOTHERS AND NEWBORNS  95
420.003 - OPPOSITION TO CRIMINALIZATION OF REPRODUCTIVE DECISION MAKING  96

435.000  PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY

435.001 - AVAILABILITY OF INSURANCE  96
435.002 - CONFIDENTIALITY IN LEGAL PROCEEDINGS  96
435.003 - EXPAND IMMUNITY LAWS COVERING VOLUNTARY PHYSICIAN SERVICES  96
435.005 - MALPRACTICE COVERAGE FOR OPERATIONAL MEDICAL DIRECTORS  96
435.006 - MALPRACTICE REVIEW PANELS  97
435.007 - MALPRACTICE REVIEW PANELS-PARTICIPATION  97
435.008 - MEDICAL MALPRACTICE CAP ON DAMAGES  97
435.009 - PHYSICIAN-PATIENT PRIVILEGE  98
435.010 - PREMIUM DISCOUNTS AS INCENTIVE FOR PANEL SERVICE  98
435.011 - STANDARD OF CARE  98
435.012 - STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS  98
435.013 - STRENGTHEN GOOD SAMARITAN LAWS  98
435.014 - TEACHING OF BASICSS OF DISPUTE RESOLUTION  98
435.015 - TORT REFORM  99
435.016 - LEGISLATION, STANDARDS OF CARE AND THE PATIENT/PHYSICIAN RELATIONSHIP  100
435.017 - MEDICAL INSURANCE PAYMENT GUIDELINES AND THE STANDARD OF CARE  100

440.000  PUBLIC HEALTH AND PREVENTIVE MEDICINE

440.001 - CHILDHOOD IMMUNIZATION SCHEDULE  100
440.003 - COOPERATION WITH LOCAL HEALTH DEPARTMENTS  100
440.006 - FUNDING FOR VACCINES  101
440.007 - FUNDING OF LEAD POISONING PROGRAM  101
440.008 - HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE SCREENING  101
440.009 - IMMUNIZATIONS FOR ALL STUDENTS ENTERING COLLEGE  101
440.011 - INCREASE IN STAFFING OF MEDICAL DEATH INVESTIGATORS  101
440.012 - INFORMATION AND EDUCATION  102
440.013 - LOCAL PLANS  102
440.015 - MANDATORY REPORTING OF E. COLI FOODBORNE ILLNESSES TO STATE HEALTH DEPARTMENT  102
440.016 - MEDICAL EXAMINER SYSTEM  102
440.017 - OPPOSE SALE OF RAW MILK IN THE COMMONWEALTH  102
440.018 - PREVENT BLINDNESS VIRGINIA  102
440.019 - PUBLIC HEALTH  103
440.020 - REALLOCATION FROM GENERAL FUND FOR PREVENTIVE HEALTH  103
440.021 - REGULATION OF TATTOO PARLORS  103
440.023 - SALES TAX INCREASE FOR ALCOHOL  103
440.025 - SCREENING/FOLLOW-UP  103
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440.026 - State Funding For Childhood Vaccines 104
440.028 - Indoor Tanning Regulation 104
440.029 - Uranium Mining in Virginia 104
440.030 - Promoting Awareness of Babesiosis 104
440.031 - Smoking Education 104
440.032 - Nonclinical Antibiotic Usage in Livestock 104
440.033 - Non-Medical Exemption Requirements for Vaccines 105
440.034 - Eradicating Food Deserts and Food Insecurity 105

450.000 - Quality of Care 105
450.001 - Quality Assurance Bodies 105
450.002 - Reducing Medical Errors 105
450.003 - Restraint of Appropriate Use of Services 105
450.004 - Virginia Health Quality Center 105

455.000 - Radiation and Radiology 106
455.001 - Radiation Control; Needless Exposure 106
455.002 - Ionizing Radiation; Patient Education 106

460.000 - Research 106
460.001 - Animal Research 106

465.000 - Rural Health 106
465.001 - Access to Obstetrical Care 106
465.002 - Assistance with New Practice Expenses 107
465.003 - Rural Health Transportation 107

470.000 - Sports and Physical Fitness 107
470.001 - Ban on Boxing 107
470.002 - Determination of Fitness to Return to Work 107
470.003 - Employment at Secondary School Level 107
470.004 - Hot Boxing 107
470.005 - NATA’s Certification Process 108
470.006 - Promote Physical Fitness; Schools 108

475.000 - Taxes 108
475.001 - Opposition to Provider Tax 108
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475.002 - BUSINESS, PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL LICENSE (BPOL) TAX

480.000 TECHNOLOGY

485.000 TELEMEDICINE

485.01 - ESTABLISHING A PHYSICIAN-PATIENT RELATIONSHIP VIA TELEMEDICINE
485.002 - REIMBURSEMENT OF TELEMEDICINE AND DISCLOSURE OF OWNERSHIP INTERESTS IN TELEMEDICINE COMPANIES
485.003 - TELEMEDICINE RECORDS

505.000 TOBACCO: PROHIBITIONS ON SALE AND USE

505.001 - LEGISLATION RESTRICTING TOBACCO USE/INDOORS
505.002 - LEGISLATION RESTRICTING TOBACCO USE
505.003 - LEGISLATION TO INCREASE CIGARETTE TAX
505.004 - PUBLIC INDOOR SPACES; PASSIVE SMOKE INHALATION
505.005 - REPEAL OF LOCAL ORDINANCES
505.006 - SALES TO CHILDREN
505.007 - SALES/SMOKING IN HEALTH CARE FACILITIES
505.009 - SMOKING ON SCHOOL PROPERTY
505.010 - ELECTRONIC NICOTINE DELIVERY DEVICES
505.011 - TOBACCO USE IN CARS WITH MINORS

515.000 VIOLENCE AND ABUSE

515.001 - ANTI-DOMESTIC VIOLENCE STATEMENT
515.002 - NON PEACEFUL PROTESTS
515.003 - PHYSICIANS' ROLE IN VIOLENCE PREVENTION

530.000 MSV: ADMINISTRATION AND ORGANIZATION

530.001 - COI POLICY
530.002 - COMMUNICATIONS WITH LOCAL MEDICAL SOCIETIES
530.004 - MEDICAL STUDENT SOCIETY REORGANIZATION
530.005 - MSV-LOCAL SOCIETY COLLABORATION
530.006 - SPECIALTY SOCIETY INCLUSION IN LEGISLATIVE POLICY

545.000 MSV: HOUSE OF DELEGATES
545.001 - Fall Meeting 115
545.002 - First Year Delegates Instructional Meeting 115
545.003 - Procedures of the House of Delegates of MSV 115
545.004 - Financial Reports 115

555.000 MSV: Membership and Dues 115

555.001 - Academic Membership Agreement 115
555.003 - AMA Recruitment of Large Groups; Discounts and Other Incentives 115
555.004 - Use of the Term Physician 116

560.000 MSV: Officers - Nomination, Election and Tenure 116

560.002 - Honorary Membership to Outgoing Past President 116
560.003 - President’s Role; Guidelines for Others 116
560.004 - Statement of Individual Board Member’s Responsibility 116
560.005 - Statement of Responsibilities of the Board of Directors as a Whole 117
05.000 Abortion

05.001 - Counseling

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes Title X regulations that prohibit counseling or referral for abortion services and prohibit any discussion of abortion between the physician and the patient. The Medical Society of Virginia urges federal legislation or executive action to overturn or rescind such regulations.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011

10.000 Accident Prevention

10.001 - Helmets

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia continues to support legislative efforts to require the use of bicycle helmets for minors.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

10.002 - New Construction

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that smoke alarms should be installed in all homes, apartments, and other residential structures built in Virginia.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

10.003 - Public Housing

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia supports a requirement that all public housing units be sufficiently equipped with smoke detectors.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

10.004 - Small Personal Watercraft Regulation

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV encourages the enforcement of regulations regarding the operation of personal watercraft.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007
15.000 Accident Prevention: Motor Vehicles

15.001 - Alcoholism and Drug Abuse Screening

Date: 11/2/1996

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the establishment of a program in school districts to screen randomly those applying to be school bus drivers to detect such characteristics as the presence of alcohol or drugs, which are difficult to detect through physical examination.

Reaffirmed 11/5/2006
Reaffirmed 10/16/2016

15.002 - Child Restraint Devices

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the American Academy of Pediatrics’ recommendations on child restraint devices and seat positioning.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed as amended 10/25/2015

15.003 - Education; Safety

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia strongly supports stringent licensing requirements and increased education and safety training for motorcycle and automobile operators.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

15.004 - Elderly Drivers

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that drivers over seventy-five years of age should be required to renew their license every two years with an eye examination and road test. This renewal would also require a hearing examination and a physical examination.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

15.005 - Helmet Law; Repeal

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia endorses the use of helmets by motorcycle operators and passengers and is opposed to the repeal of mandatory helmet laws.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014
15.006 - High Speed Police Pursuits

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia recognizes high speed pursuits as a public health issue. MSV recommends that the appropriate governmental agencies in Virginia implement policies concerning high speed chases and provide training in vehicular pursuit to appropriate personnel. MSV recommends that the State Medical Examiner’s office compile statistics on, and report to appropriate agencies, fatalities associated with high speed police pursuit.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

15.007 - Passengers in the Beds of Pickup Trucks

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation that would prohibit the transportation of passengers in the bed of a pickup truck.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014

15.009 - Physical Examination Form

Date: 11/2/1996

The Medical Society of Virginia recommends that physical examinations of school bus drivers include questions about history of mental illness, diabetes, hypertension, epilepsy, previous alcoholism or drug abuse, and the use of medication, all of which might affect the ability to drive a bus.

Reaffirmed 11/5/2006
Reaffirmed 10/16/2016

15.010 - Physician Reporting to DMV; Immunity

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation "to provide immunity to physicians who report to the Department of Motor Vehicles patients whose physical condition is not compatible with safe driving".

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

15.011 - Safety Helmets

Date: 10/31/1998

The MSV encourages the use of safety helmets by riders of horses, bicycles, mopeds and "off road motorcycles."

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008
20.000  Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS)

20.001 - Care of HIV/AIDS Patients; Autopsies

Date:  11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the position that while any physician has the right to refuse to accept responsibility for the medical care of any given patient, refusal to do so solely because the patient may have AIDS, or is HIV positive, does not constitute ethical behavior. The MSV also believes that hospitals have a moral obligation to accept such patients for care including the performance of autopsies, if requested.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011

20.002 - CDC Guidelines for Health Care Workers

Date:  11/8/1997

The MSV supports the safety measures and guidelines endorsed by the Center for Disease Control, the AMA, the American Hospital Association, and the Surgeon General for all health care workers coming into contact with potentially HIV infected patients.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

20.003 - Comprehensive Plan/Pediatric AIDS

Date:  11/3/1990

The Society shall support the Virginia Department of Health’s Division of Disease Prevention in their provision of an HIV management plan which places appropriate emphasis upon pediatric AIDS and HIV infected patients and which includes prevention, care, treatment, and reimbursement with respect to HIV and AIDS patients.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

20.004 - Control of the Spread of AIDS

Date:  10/31/1992

The Medical Society of Virginia continues to support the use of public health measures in dealing with AIDS that have served us well in the past with regard to other communicable diseases, such as surveillance, detection, tracing of sources, education and research, and that it continue to support providing increased resources to the Virginia Department of Health to allow expanded use of these measures, until HIV/AIDS inevitably takes its place on the list of controlled or eradicated diseases.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

20.005 - Education Regarding High Risk Behavior

Date:  11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia encourages all health care professionals to teach their patients, whether in high risk groups or not, and the public at large to avoid the high risk activities associated with acquisition of HIV infection, especially indiscriminate or anonymous sexual contact or sharing the use of needles.
contaminated with another's blood.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

20.006 - Ethical Obligation; Counseling

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia encourages all health care professionals to recognize their ethical obligation to care for patients with HIV infection and to provide or arrange for the counseling of such patients as means to avoid transmission of their infection to other individuals.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

20.007 - Family Treatment of HIV/AIDS

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the concept of coordinated care within the family with respect to the management and treatment of individuals with HIV infection and AIDS.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011

20.008 - Funding for Testing and Counseling

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the efforts of the Department of Health to obtain appropriate funding for testing and counseling and tracking in connection with HIV infection.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

20.009 - HIV Prevention through Clean Syringe Availability

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV, as part of its efforts to prevent the spread of HIV, hepatitis and other blood borne diseases in Virginia, supports legislation in the General Assembly: (a) to modify drug paraphernalia laws so that adult injection drug users may legally possess syringes and needles and (b) to establish syringe-exchange programs for adult injection drug users.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

20.010 - Involvement of Component Societies

Date: 11/3/1990

The Medical Society of Virginia urges continued participation of local component societies and physicians in the care and management of HIV and AIDS patients in their local communities.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012
20.011 - Marriage Licenses

Date: 11/3/1990

The Society opposes routine HIV antibody testing in conjunction with the issuance of marriage licenses.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

20.012 - Prisons

Date: 11/3/1990

The Society endorses HIV antibody testing in prisons only when ordered by a physician on a case by case basis.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

20.013 - Testing of Health Care Workers

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia endorses the continuing efforts of the CDC and the AMA in developing guidelines with respect to testing of health care workers for HIV infection.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011

20.015 - Voluntary Testing for Pregnant Women

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia recommends that physicians offer routine HIV testing to all pregnant women and women of childbearing age in the State of Virginia, and that physicians performing such testing do so only with the informed consent of their patients.

The Medical Society of Virginia recommends that physicians provide to pregnant women and women of childbearing age who undergo an HIV test appropriate retesting, education, counseling and follow-up, as needed.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

20.016 - CDC Guidelines for HIV Counseling, Testing, and Referral

Date: 11/2/2012

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the Centers for Disease Control Revised Guidelines for HIV Counseling, Testing, and Referral, section on Targeted versus Routinely Recommended HIV Counseling, Testing and Referral (CTR). MSV specifically supports the following statements:

**Determining Individual HIV Risk Through Risk Screening:** A client's individual HIV risk can be determined through risk screening based on self-reported behavioral risk and clinical signs or symptoms. Behavioral risks include injection-drug use or unprotected intercourse with a person at increased risk for HIV. Clinical signs and symptoms include STDs, which indicate increased risk for HIV infection, or other signs or symptoms (e.g., of acute retroviral or opportunistic infections), which might suggest the presence of HIV infection. Insufficient data
exist to support the efficacy of any one risk-screening approach over others (e.g., face-to-face discussion or interviews, self-administered questionnaires, computer-assisted interviews, or simple open-ended questions asked by providers)

**Recommendations for Routinely Recommended and Targeted CTR by Setting and Circumstance:**
Decisions regarding whether to recommend routine or targeted services are based on the behavioral and clinical HIV risk of the client population in the setting, the level of HIV prevalence of the setting, and the behavioral and clinical HIV risk of individual clients. These factors should not be used to determine recommendations for CTR in circumstances in which treatment potential exists (i.e., perinatal transmission and acute occupational or nonoccupational exposure).

These guidelines may be found here.

**25.000 Aging**

**25.001 - Community Adult Day Care**

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia promotes the concept of adult day care on the local and statewide level as an integral part of a community's total health services.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

**25.002 - Programs to Maintain Elderly Patients in Home Environment**

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that Virginia physicians should assist in the effort to maintain elderly patients in their home environments. Furthermore, the Medical Society believes that state funding must be available for the establishment of community programs designed to meet the needs of the elderly.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

**30.000 Alcohol and Alcoholism**

**30.001 - Alcohol/Drug Impaired Drivers**

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia urges the continued enforcement of administrative drivers license revocation for drivers whose blood alcohol content exceeds the legal limit or who refuse a blood alcohol determination. The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation facilitating the prosecution and removal of alcohol and/or drug impaired drivers from the roadways of the Commonwealth.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

**35.000 Allied Health Professions**
35.001 - American Association of Medical Assistants

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia considers that the American Association of Medical Assistants (AAMA) is an important and worthwhile organization and urges physicians to support their medical assistants and encourage their membership in AAMA.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

35.002 - Assuring Quality in Allied Health Scope of Practice

Date: 11/4/2001

The Medical Society of Virginia reaffirms support of a proactive stance to assure quality and cost effective healthcare for the medical care of consumers of the Commonwealth by informing and advising legislators and regulatory agencies on the need for physician oversight of care provided to patients.

The Medical Society of Virginia reaffirms the provision of resources and leadership to affiliated physician groups in an ongoing and coordinated action to inform and advise legislators and regulatory agencies on scope of practice issues.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011

35.003 - Diagnosis by Optometrists

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes the use of optometrists and inadequately trained nonmedical personnel for the diagnosis of eye disease and eye injury.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

35.004 - Legislation Mandating Medically Necessary Services by Allied Health Professions

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV will advocate that any legislative act in the Commonwealth which seeks to mandate health insurance coverage for services provided by allied health professions must include provisions that will require that physicians determine "medical necessity" and that qualified physicians supervise allied health services to assure assessment and management are cost-effective and consistent with accepted medical standards.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

35.006 - Psychologists’ Prescriptive Authority

Date: 11/4/2001

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes legislation allowing psychologists to prescribe medications.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011
35.007 - Regulations of the Board of Hearing Aid Specialists

Date: 11/4/2002

The Medical Society of Virginia supports otolaryngologists as the primary caregivers of children with hearing disorders, and vigorously opposes any efforts to remove the requirement in current regulations that a child must see an otolaryngologist prior to sale of a hearing aid by a hearing aid dealer.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

35.010 - Scope of Practice Position Statement

Date: 1/9/2001

Introduction

Allied health professionals have the luxury of being one issue organizations who can year in and year out diligently lobby the legislators until they get legislation through which will increase their scope of practice. Essentially they want to practice as a medical doctor, but it is a lot easier to get a M.D. by legislation rather than through a decade of education. Physicians must take the time to educate their legislators on the risk to patient safety and quality of care when non-medically trained individuals seek to treat and diagnose patients with medical conditions, particularly when they seed direct access. As a first step in the efforts to educate the legislators on these issues, it is important that we define and describe the roles and responsibilities of the physician as the leader of the collaborative health care team.

Quality of Care

While we recognize that each member of the healing professions brings unique talents to bear on the care of patients, and while cost containment is an important aspect of the delivery of health care, we reaffirm that the delivery of the highest quality of care to patients is our first and major concern. To ensure quality of care, maximize continuity and coordination of care and to guarantee patients are diagnosed by or directed to the most appropriate provider of care, independent practice by allied health or mid-level health practitioners cannot be condoned. Using these providers in lieu of a physician is second tier care.

Definition of Collaborative Practice

Experience and the literature are clear that the best quality health care is delivered by health care teams that collaborate closely and share responsibilities according to their unique abilities and training. These teams are best led by physicians whose intensive and extensive education and ongoing rigorous regulation qualify them to oversee the many variables inherent in patient care.

A collaborative practice is one where the health care providers work together in complimentary interdependent roles to provide the highest quality care for patients, families, and communities. (Definition from former head of the nurse practitioners program at the University of Virginia School of Nursing) Key elements in collaboration include conjoint problem solving, shared decision-making, task interdependency and shared documentation.

Appropriate Supervision and Oversight by the Physician

Physicians should work closely with many mid-level providers and it is necessary that they should develop guidelines for these types of relationships. This is especially important since mid-level providers and most allied health practitioners are responsible to different boards and unique sections of the Code of Virginia. Therefore, there is a need to have guiding principles for physician supervision and interaction vis-à-vis each
type of provider.

Therefore, the Medical Society of Virginia accepts the following position statements on Guidelines for Physicians supervising mid-level and allied health providers:

1. The physician is ultimately responsible for coordinating and managing the care of patients, and with the appropriate input of mid-level and allied health providers, ensuring the quality of health care provided to patients in all settings.
2. Health care services delivered by physicians and mid-level or allied health providers must be within the boundaries of each practitioner's authorized scope of practice, as defined by state law.
3. The role of the mid-level and allied health providers in the delivery of care should be defined through mutually agreed upon collaborative guidelines, protocols and agreements that reflect the best available information for delivery of care.
4. The extent of involvement by mid-level and allied health providers in the assessment and implementation of treatment will depend on the complexity and acuity of the patient's condition and the training, experience and preparation of the provider as adjudged by the physician and as outlined in the collaborative agreement.
5. The physician will strive to set the highest standards for the supervision of mid-level and allied health providers in all settings. Optimal supervision occurs while the patient is still available for observation. The continuum of supervision decreases as time passes. Physicians will not supervise providers with whose abilities they are not familiar.
6. The physician must be available for consultation with mid-level or allied health providers at all times, either in person or through telecommunication systems or reasonably available means.
7. Patients should be made clearly aware at all times whether they are being cared for by a physician or a mid-level or allied health provider.
8. The physician and mid-level or allied health provider together should review all delegated patient services on a regular basis, as well as the mutually agreed upon protocols or guidelines for practice.
9. The physician is responsible for clarifying and familiarizing the mid-level or allied health provider with his/her supervising methods and means of delegating patient care.
10. The physician has a responsibility to provide the best health care in the most cost effective and convenient way possible as long as quality of care is not compromised.
11. Both the physician and the mid-level and allied health providers will be responsible for continuing education and utilization of advanced information and technology resources.
12. Direct reimbursement should not be permitted if it will interfere with collaboration/integration or the direct supervision of the healing arts practitioner's activities by a physician.
13. The Department of Health Professions and the Board of Medicine are the appropriate governmental bodies to be charged with carefully studying and making recommendations regarding issues of licensure.

Interactions with Specialty Societies
The development of supervisory guidelines, protocols, and collaborative agreements must in all instances be accomplished with input and guidance from the appropriate specialty societies.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

35.011 - Supervision of Physical Therapy Assistants

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation to amend the current statute which would permit physicians to supervise licensed physical therapy assistants.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008
35.012 - Licensure of Naturopaths  
Date: 10/25/2009  
The Medical Society of Virginia opposes the full or limited licensure of naturopaths.

35.013 - Associate Physician  
Date: 10/16/2016  
The Medical Society of Virginia opposes special licensing pathways for physicians who are not currently enrolled in an Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education or American Osteopathic Association training program, or have not completed at least one year of accredited post-graduate U.S. medical education.

55.000 Cancer  

55.001 - Breast Cancer/Insurance Coverage of Screening Mammography  
Date: 11/8/1997  
The Medical Society of Virginia encourages third party payers and government to develop financial mechanisms for screening mammography through endorsements, selective procedure contracting, and other means.  
Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

55.002 - Screening for Breast Cancer  
Date: 11/2/1996  
The Medical Society of Virginia endorses screening consistent with the American College of Radiology, American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Society of Breast Imaging guidelines. Imaging of the breast for patients at risk should be interpreted as a medically appropriate service and should be covered by third party payers.  
Reaffirmed as amended 10/16/2016

55.004 - Physician Reporting of Cancer Cases  
Date: 10/31/1998  
MSV strongly believes that all physicians in Virginia should report cases of cancer to the Virginia Cancer Registry unless they can determine that these cases have already been reported by a hospital, clinic, or in-state pathology laboratory.  
The Medical Society of Virginia strongly supports the continued collection of basic data on all cancer patients in Virginia by the Department of Health as specifically outlined in Virginia Codes 32.1-70 and 32.1-71B.  
Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

55.005 - Screening and Detection Programs
Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia encourages all physicians to support screening and detection programs designed to promote the diagnosis of cancer at an early stage.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

55.006 - Virginia Cancer Registry

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia endorses accreditation through the Commission on Cancer of the American College of Surgeons and encourages all hospitals to seek approval. In addition, MSV supports hospital participation in the Virginia Cancer Registry.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

60.000 Children and Youth

60.001 - Addiction of Children

Date: 11/11/1989

The Medical Society of Virginia supports measures to prevent the addiction of children in the Commonwealth and in the Nation through the resources at its command.

Reaffirmed 10/25/2009

60.002 - Agency Jurisdiction

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that the jurisdiction over Day Care Centers lies with the Department of Social Services which should continue to study existing laws and regulations and make them applicable to all Day Care Centers.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

60.003 - AMA Program on Child and Adolescent Health

Date: 11/2/1996

The Medical Society of Virginia endorses the AMA Program on Child and Adolescent Health.

Reaffirmed 11/5/2006
Reaffirmed 10/16/2016

60.004 - Child Death Investigation

Date: 10/31/1992
The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation to provide a mechanism by which an inquiry into the Central Registry of the Department of Social Services is made of all child deaths under age seven; and be it further

The Medical Society of Virginia supports referral to the police and the district medical examiner to determine if further investigation is needed if an inquiry to the Central Registry of the Department of Social Services reveals that the child or care taker was involved in a prior founded or reason to suspect case of child abuse.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

60.005 - Children's Health Insurance Issues

Date: 11/4/2000

The Medical Society of Virginia will work with other health care advocacy groups to promote improvements in Family Access to Medical Insurance Services (FAMIS) including basic eligibility requirements, expedited receipt of benefits and other measures which will enhance delivery of medical care for children in the Commonwealth through these programs.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

60.006 - Corporal Punishment of Foster Children by Foster Parents

Date: 11/4/2000

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes the use of corporal punishment by foster parents.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

60.007 - Firearms

Date: 11/3/1990

The Medical Society of Virginia supports education programs to reduce injuries to children from firearms.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

60.008 - Inclusion of Pediatricians in Development of Family Service Plans

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia recommends that Early Childhood Intervention Agencies and Health Insurers in the Commonwealth of Virginia promote voluntary inclusion of pediatric trained physicians in the development of the Individualized Family Service Plans, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), so that medically-necessary and medically-appropriate services are provided to the child and family.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

60.009 - In-School Health Services

Date: 10/31/1992
The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation requiring that every school division in the Commonwealth of Virginia employ or contract through the Health Department for registered nurses, at an appropriate staffing level and that every school division in the Commonwealth of Virginia be required to have a formal relationship with a specific physician for supervision of school nursing services and for arranging specialty consultation as necessary.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

60.010 - Preventive Measures for Firearm Injuries to Children

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV will cooperate and collaborate with interested advocacy groups regarding the dangers and legal liabilities of recklessly leaving loaded, unsecured firearms accessible to children.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

60.011 - Reporting/Substance Abuse

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society supports educational programs in Virginia’s schools regarding substance abuse prevention.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

60.012 - School Nurse Shortage

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV supports the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services’ recommendations for nurse-to-student ratios and encourages every system in the Commonwealth to meet or exceed these recommendations.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

60.013 - Speed Limits

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia encourages and supports statewide legislation that would require a 25-mile-per-hour maximum speed limit zone surrounding schools that is in effect only during the arrival and departure of students, such zone to be indicated by flashing yellow lights and other road signs set distant from the school to enable traffic to comply. If the school is located on a divided highway, this speed limit would apply to traffic in both directions.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

60.015 - Support for use of Car Safety Seats for Children

Date: 11/4/2000
The Medical Society of Virginia encourages public education programs regarding the proper use of car safety seats for children and supports the federal government’s mandate to create a uniform system of attachment of car safety seats in vehicles.

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the American Academy of Pediatrics’ policies on car safety seats, encourages the use of car safety seats or other approved devices for children over four years old who are too small for the adult restraint system and supports training to secure them properly in vehicles.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

**60.016 - Tobacco and Child Health in the Commonwealth**

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia, acting in defense of all citizens and children, and in an effort to prevent ill health, supports legislation to maintain and strengthen the Virginia Clean Indoor Air Law enabling citizens and children of the Commonwealth to have clean indoor air in all public places and in private business where nonsmokers work or may frequent.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014

**60.017 - Tobacco Tax and Child-Directed Promotions**

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia condemns the introduction of new tobacco products and promotions, particularly those designed to attract young people, and urges the General Assembly and the Governor of the Commonwealth to ban such products and promotions.

The Medical Society of Virginia urges the General Assembly and the Governor of the Commonwealth to increase taxes substantially on tobacco products to reduce tobacco use, while increasing government revenues for positive social and health services and support, to include, but not limited to, tobacco education in elementary and middle schools, funding for childhood respiratory and cardiovascular disease prevention and treatment, as well as subsidizing tobacco farmers who choose to harvest non-tobacco crops.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014

**60.018 - School Start Times and Adolescent Sleep**

Date: 5/31/2014

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislative and other efforts to encourage public school systems in Virginia to implement a strategy to improve student sleep health to include but not be limited to later school start times.

**70.000  Coding and Nomenclature**

**70.001 - Coding/Reimbursement for Mental Health Services**

Date: 10/31/1998
The Medical Society of Virginia endorses the DSM-IV-PC as the instrument to document and code biopsychosocial data for data collection and for third party payer reimbursement of primary care providers in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

**70.002 - Office of Inspector General “Correct Coding Initiative” Resistance**

Date: 11/4/2000

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes efforts by HCFA’s Office of the Inspector General to investigate physician coding practice until fair, impartial due process procedures are implemented.

MSV supports physician notification by HCFA’s Office of the Inspector General of an impending review of their practice so that office disruption can be kept to a minimum.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

**85.000  Death**

**85.001 - Disagreements Regarding Treatment of the Terminally Ill**

Date: 11/4/1995

Medical treatment of the terminally ill remains the responsibility of the physician to apply his best medical judgment in each instance and always suggest what he feels to be the proper course of treatment. Should there be any disagreement, it is the physician's prerogative to withdraw from the case after proper notification and assistance in the obtaining of another physician. Conversely, it is the prerogative of the family, parent, guardian, spouse, or committee to replace the physician as they wish.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed as amended 10/25/2015

**85.002 - Ethics of Refusing to Provide Futile Care**

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the concept that a physician assumes a sound ethical position when he/she refuses to render medical treatment that the physician reasonably believes is futile either in terms of promoting or improving the health of his/her patient or alleviating the patient's suffering.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011

**85.003 - Futile Care/Consultation with Ethics Committees**

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia recommends that in instances where physicians find themselves unable to satisfactorily resolve conflicts arising over requests for treatment that the physician reasonably believes is futile either in terms of promoting or improving the health of the patient or alleviating the patient's suffering, that they turn to their hospital ethics committee for assistance. While the hospital may wish to contact its counsel in such instances, from the physician's perspective, the assistance of the hospital ethics committee may be
more helpful, since such committees will be familiar with the realities of clinical decision-making in the context of withholding or withdrawing treatment.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011

85.004 - Physician Assisted Suicide and Euthanasia

Date: 11/8/1997

In dealing with the terminally ill, suffering patient, physicians may ethically:

1. Withdraw life-prolonging procedures or decline to initiate such treatment in situations in which a patient is terminally ill and has given informed consent for this to be done either personally or through an advance directive, or in instances in which the patient is unable to give such consent it is obtained from an authorized family member or a surrogate.

2. Prescribe medication to a patient even though the potential exists for inappropriate use by the patient that may result in death, provided the physician's intent in prescribing such medication is not to cause death or to assist the patient in committing suicide.

3. In situations where the distinction between relieving suffering and causing a terminally ill patient's death may be blurred, the physician should exercise his/her best medical judgment in caring for the patient.

4. Withhold or withdraw treatment from a terminally ill patient that the physician reasonably believes to be futile either in terms of promoting or improving the health of the patient or alleviating the patient's suffering, provided the physician's purpose in so doing is not actively to cause the patient's death, but rather to allow death to occur with minimal suffering.

In accordance with the above statements (which are consistent with and supplemented by the views of the Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs of the American Medical Association 2.17, 2.20 and 2.21), the Medical Society of Virginia strongly opposes the practice of physician assisted suicide or euthanasia.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

90.000 Disabled

90.001 - Opposition to Onerous Regulation

Date: 10/31/1992

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes the more onerous regulation of medical practice imposed by the Americans with Disabilities Act and asks that the American Medical Association work to decrease the burden of the more onerous portions of the Americans with Disabilities Act on physicians’ practices.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

100.000 Drugs: Access

100.001 - Improve Access to Prescription Drugs for the Uninsured

Date: 11/8/1997
MSV requests the AMA to meet with the Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America to design a universal form for physicians to fill out requesting stock bottles of medications from American pharmaceutical companies for indigent patients.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

**115.000  Drugs: Labeling and Packaging**

**115.001 - Patient Medication Instruction Forms**

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the use of patient medication instruction forms and other patient educational material by the physicians in the state of Virginia.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

**115.002 - Questionable Activities of Certain Pharmaceutical Manufacturers**

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes pharmaceutical manufacturers paying pharmacists incentives that reward pharmacists for substituting their brand preference for the physician’s choice in the prescribing of patient medications based solely on personal financial incentives.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014

**120.000  Drugs: Prescribing and Dispensing**

**120.001 - Anabolic Steroids**

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that the state department of education should develop and implement a program of drug testing for all Virginia State District Champions in all varsity sports proceeding to that level, and be it further

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that any program should include 1) mandatory urine testing of each individual champion athlete for illicit drugs; 2) suspension from all Virginia State High School varsity competition for the subsequent calendar year if positive, and 3) elimination of the positive member's varsity team from the State Tournament (gymnastics, swimming, tennis, and track teams excepted because of the individual nature of the sports), and be it further

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that an athlete's or team's refusal to comply with mandatory testing serve to eliminate the varsity team from the state tournament; vacate all team titles earned in that varsity sport in that school year; and suspend the non-complying athlete from sports activities during the subsequent calendar year.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
**120.002 - Expiration Dates on Prescription Drugs**

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation to require all prescription labels to include the expiration date of the medication dispensed.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

**120.003 - Guidelines for Prescriptions**

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia adopts the following guidelines:

1. All prescriptions must be initiated by the prescribing physician, or appropriately licensed prescribers. Authority to dispense may be provided by his signature on the prescription or by direct personal communication by the prescribing physician or an assistant under the physician's direct and immediate supervision to the pharmacist.

2. When a prescription has been filled or refilled the maximum number of times as initially designated, it is an expired prescription. Authorization to refill an expired prescription must be obtained by the pharmacist by direct personal communication with the prescribing physician or an assistant under the physician's direct and immediate supervision, or by a new prescription.

3. When a pharmacist has concern in his own mind about the timeliness of a prescription refill, patient's need, and all other factors that demonstrate the appropriateness of the physician contact, he should contact the physician for the purpose of obtaining authorization to fill or refill the prescription.

4. Patient Profiles maintained by the pharmacist which document the patient's drug history are considered important documents that would be available to assist the pharmacist in familiarizing the physician with the patient and concurrent drugs prescribed by other physicians.

5. Using the patient as an intermediary in communications between the physician and pharmacist is unacceptable; e.g., the physician should not tell the patient to inform the pharmacist that the physician approves additional refills of a prescription.

6. The Committee discourages use of the term "PRN" as a prescription refill authorization and recommends that physicians be specific in designating 1) the frequency, 2) a maximum time limit, and 3) a maximum number of refills.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

**120.004 - Guidelines for the Practicing Physician for the Treatment of Chronic, Non Cancer Pain**

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV will maintain Guidelines for the Practicing Physician for the Treatment of Chronic, Non-Cancer Pain. Guidelines will be made available upon request from MSV headquarters.
120.005 - Mailing of Controlled Drug Samples

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia condemns solicitations offering narcotic/analgesic chemical substances through the U.S. Postal Service without adequate safeguards and considers that such solicitation is unethical and should be illegal.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

120.006 - Physician Dispensing

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia supports physician dispensing of prepackaged drugs for a fee or charge when it is in the best interest of the patient.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

120.007 - Prescriptive Authority

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes any efforts by psychologists, social workers, licensed professional counselors and pastoral counselors to obtain prescription privileges.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

120.008 - Cannabis for Medicinal Use

Date: 10/16/2016

Expanding Research on Medicinal Cannabis

The Medical Society of Virginia calls for further adequate and well-designed studies of marijuana and related cannabinoids in patients who have serious conditions for which evidence suggests possible efficacy and a reasonable likelihood that application of such research findings would improve the understanding and treatment of specific disease states.

MSV supports down-scheduling marijuana’s status as a federal Schedule I controlled substance, with the goal of facilitating the conduct of clinical research and development of cannabinoid-based medicines and alternate delivery methods and minimizing patient barriers to treatment by removing legal and logistical obstacles.

Medicinal Use of Cannabinoids

The MSV believes that effective patient care requires the free and unfettered exchange of information on treatment alternatives and that discussion of these alternatives between physicians and patients should not subject either party to criminal sanctions.
The Medical Society of Virginia recognizes that a physician may deem the use of medical cannabinoids to be appropriate for some patients with severely debilitating conditions, such as intractable epilepsy, that have exhausted other available therapies.

In these situations, MSV urges collaboration between the medical community, local, state and national authorities to remove undue barriers.

Medical cannabinoids should be manufactured, processed and dispensed in a consistent and regulated fashion to ensure patient safety. When medical cannabinoids are incorporated as part of a patient’s care plan, pursuant to applicable state and federal laws, the patient and their care team, including family caregivers, should not be subject to criminal sanctions.

The Medical Society of Virginia recognizes the significant health issues involving nonmedical use of marijuana and emphasizes that these recommendations apply to proven medical use and does not apply to nonmedical use of marijuana.

Nothing in this policy is intended to encourage the violation of existing state or federal law.

**122.000 Drugs: Substance Abuse and Prevention**

**122.001 - Urine Collection**

Date: 11/4/1995

When chain of custody is required, the Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation requiring national standardized custody and control process and forms for collection of urine for drug screening.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed as amended 10/25/2015

**122.002 - Access to PMP Data for Law Enforcement**

Date: 1/16/2012

The Medical Society of Virginia supports allowing law enforcement personnel access to Prescription Monitoring Program (PMP) data while involved in an active investigation.

**122.003 - “Good Samaritan” Protection for Overdose Witness**

Date: 1/16/2012

The Medical Society of Virginia supports granting “Good Samaritan” protection for those who call 9-1-1 when witnessing a possible drug overdose.

**122.004 - Medical Practitioner Drug Addiction Guidelines**

Date: 10/16/2016

The Medical Society of Virginia will create a study group/subcommittee to study the problem of drug/opioid abuse among physicians and other healthcare providers, and will recommend guidelines for its members and the medical community on how to respond to suspected or known medical practitioner drug addiction and/or impairment.
125.000  Drugs: Substitution

125.001 - Listing of Generic and Proprietary Medications when substituted

Date:  10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia supports Code of Virginia § 54.1-3408.03, which requires that pharmacies and other entities which dispense medications to patients list both the generic and the proprietary name for the medication when generic substitution occurs in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

125.002 - Off Label Use of Drugs or Devices

Date:  11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes the practice by accident and sickness insurers and health care plans of denying coverage for any drug or device solely on the basis that the drug or device is used for a condition other than a use approved by the Food and Drug Administration.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

125.003 - Therapeutic Substitution-ACP position

Date:  11/3/1990

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the following positions of the American College of Physicians (ACP) regarding the issue of therapeutic substitution of drugs.  1. Therapeutic substitution is appropriate only in hospitals with an effectively functioning formulary system and Pharmacy and Therapeutics Committee.  2. Therapeutic substitution jeopardizes patient management when immediate prior consent is not obtained from the authorized prescriber and when documentation of substitutions is untimely or improper.  Such practices must not be permitted.  3. The practice of therapeutic substitution may be acceptable in ambulatory settings that meet standards comparable to those of institutional settings. 4. Effective therapeutics require physicians to be well educated in therapeutics and to instruct patients about the proper use and effects of prescribed medication.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011

130.000  Emergency Medical Services

130.001 - AEDs for Police First Responders

Date:  11/7/2004

The Medical Society of Virginia supports funding for law enforcement agencies to buy AEDs and to equip and train their personnel as first responders to improve cardiac arrest survival.

Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

130.002 - Do Not Resuscitate Orders - Hospital Policies
The Medical Society of Virginia recommends that every hospital and medical staff have a written policy consistent with the Virginia Healthcare Decisions Act regarding “No Code/Do Not Resuscitate (DNR)” orders, also referred to as “Allow Natural Death” orders, which uses the following guidelines:

a. That the attending physician take measures to ensure that any No Code/DNR decision is in the best overall interest of the patient and that both the decision and the reasons for it are clearly communicated to those who have vital need to know.

b. That the attending physician and hospital staffs familiarize themselves with the requirements of the Virginia Healthcare Decisions Act and the significance of the Living Will so as to be able to take advantage of the immunity from liability it provides in connection with the writing of “No Code/DNR” orders.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

130.003 - Do Not Resuscitate Orders - Minors

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV supports the applicability of Emergency Medical Services Do Not Resuscitate orders to minors with documented “terminally ill” or “incompatible with extended life” conditions when properly executed by the parents and/or legal guardians and the attending physician.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

130.005 - Emergency Physician/Managed Care Interface

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV endorses the following principles:

a) patients should not be required to receive preauthorization from a health plan prior to receiving emergency services;

b) health plans should be required to educate their enrollees about coverage for emergency services, including the location of participating emergency departments, the appropriate use of 911, cost-sharing provisions for emergency services, and the processes and procedures for obtaining emergency care;

c) health plans should be required to cover emergency services provided to patients who meet the “prudent layperson” standard under Virginia law.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

130.006 - EMTALA Funding

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia recommends that reimbursement for medical services provided subject to Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act (EMTALA) be made to all providing institutions on an equivalent basis for equivalent services.
MSV supports appropriate federal funding to accompany the increased demands placed by EMTALA upon such institutions.

Reaffirmed 10/25/2009

130.007 - Office of Emergency Medical Services

Date: 11/5/1994

The MSV opposes any plans that would lessen or in any other way interfere with physician direction of emergency medical care provided by non-physicians in the pre-hospital setting.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

130.008 - Patient Choice of Hospital

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV supports that when medically practical, Emergency Medical Services agencies licensed by the Commonwealth of Virginia and their personnel engaging in the treatment and transport of patients to area hospitals, should honor patient, family or physician requests for specific hospital destinations.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

130.009 - Recertification of EMS Personnel

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia maintains it is the primary responsibility of the Operational Medical Director of an EMS agency to assure optimum availability and quality of care to every extent possible.

The Medical Society of Virginia believes the Operational Medical Director must retain the ultimate authority in evaluating the cognitive and practice skills of EMS personnel practicing under his/her medical license.

The Medical Society of Virginia encourages development of a statewide standardized approach to evaluation of EMS personnel by their respective Operational Medical Directors.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

130.010 - Reorganization of State Office Emergency Medical Services

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia reaffirms that the Office of Emergency Medical Services (OEMS) and the authority for the development and promulgation of rules and regulations governing EMS should remain within the purview of the Department of Health and Board of Health, and that any change in the current administrative structure, location and function of the OEMS be considered only after careful study and clear demonstrated benefit to the patients served by the EMS system.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed as amended 10/25/2015

130.011 - State Emergency Medical Services Advisory Board

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the specific designation of a member of the Virginia Chapter, American Academy of Pediatrics as a pediatric emergency specialist representative on the State Emergency Medical Services Advisory Board.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

130.012 - State EMS Advisory Board Reorganization

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the work of the Emergency Medical Services Board and believes there should be adequate physician representation on the Board to include designees of MSV, Virginia College of Emergency Physicians, Virginia Chapter of American Academy of Pediatrics and the Virginia Chapter, American College of Surgeons.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed as amended 10/25/2015

130.014 - Trauma Research/Development of Systems

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia supports a proactive stance in both trauma research and the development of trauma systems across the State.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

130.015 - Emergency Department On-Call Physicians

Date: 10/25/2009

The Medical Society of Virginia supports and encourages health care organizations and governmental agencies to assure adequate emergency department on-call specialist access.

130.016 - Protocols to Reduce Patient Morbidity and Mortality in Hospital Emergency Departments

Date: 10/25/2009

The Medical Society of Virginia supports and encourages hospitals and physicians to develop and implement protocols which ensure patient safety while addressing overcrowding and boarding in the emergency department.

130.017 - Advocacy for Physician Orders for Scope of Treatment

Date: 11/2/2012
The Medical Society of Virginia supports the Physician Orders for Scope of Treatment (POST) form as a uniform, portable and legal document in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

**130.018 - Treatment of Dying Patients**

Date: 10/16/2016

The Medical Society of Virginia encourages medical schools, post-graduate specialty programs, and all Virginia physicians to advance and promote their 'at end of life' training.

The Medical Society of Virginia encourages universal use of ‘Advance Care Plans’ such as ‘Living Wills’ in Virginia so that every patient expresses his or her wishes for care in end of life decisions.

**135.000 Environmental Health**

**135.001 - Repeal of EPA Requirements on Medical Waste**

Date: 10/31/1992

The Medical Society of Virginia, in cooperation with the American Medical Association and other national health provider groups, shall work with Congress and the EPA to modify EPA requirements on medical waste, the goal of which would be to eliminate regulations that cannot be shown scientifically to protect the public health.

The Medical Society of Virginia, in cooperation with the American Medical Association and other national health provider groups, shall work with Congress and other governmental regulatory agencies to ensure that all decisions regarding the regulation of medical practices be based upon scientific principles and/or fact.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

**135.002 - Protecting Human Health in a Changing Climate**

Date: 10/16/2016

The Medical Society of Virginia notes the findings of leading U.S. and international scientific bodies that the Earth is undergoing adverse changes in the global climate.

The Medical Society of Virginia supports educating the medical community on the adverse effects of global climate change and incorporating the health implications of climate change into the spectrum of medical education and policymaking.

The Medical Society of Virginia encourages physicians to work with local and state health departments to strengthen the public health infrastructure to ensure that the health effects of climate change can be anticipated and responded to more effectively.

**140.000 Ethics**

**140.001 - Freedom of Communication Between Physicians and Patients**

Date: 10/31/1992
The Medical Society of Virginia strongly condemns any interference by the government or other third parties that causes a physician to compromise his or her medical judgment as to what information or treatment is in the best interest of the patient.

The Medical Society of Virginia, working with other organizations as appropriate, vigorously pursue legislative relief from regulations or statutes that prevent physicians from freely discussing with or providing information to patients about medical care and procedures or interfere with the physician-patient relationship.

The Medical Society of Virginia shall communicate to appropriate governmental bodies its continued opposition to any regulation that proposes restrictions on physician-patient communications.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

140.002 - Gifts to Physicians

Date: 10/31/1992

The Medical Society endorses AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 8.061 - "Gifts to Physicians from Industry."

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

140.003 - MSV Opinion on Treatment of Family Members

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that as a general rule, a physician should not treat themselves or members of their immediate family.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

140.004 - The Hippocratic Oath

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia reaffirms the importance of the Hippocratic Oath and asks that it be taken orally by every medical school graduate at his/her graduation ceremony in the state of Virginia.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

140.005 - Industry Funding and Support

Date: 10/25/2009

1) Physicians and physician organizations, including the Medical Society of Virginia and its affiliates, should thoroughly evaluate the decision to accept or not accept industry funding and its implications on their activities, on case by case basis. Such evaluation should involve an analysis of relevant ethical standards and guidelines pertaining to financial support and gifts from industry, which may include:
   • American Medical Association ethical opinions and reports
   • Standards developed by national physician specialty societies
Medical Society of Virginia Foundation Gift Acceptance Policies and Guidelines
Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) Standards for Commercial Support
Report of the Association of American Medical Colleges Task Force on Industry Funding of Medical Education
Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America (PhRMA) Code on Interactions with Healthcare Professionals

2) If not accepting funding would impair their ability to effectively achieve their goals, including the delivery of high quality CME and other activities, then physicians and physician organizations should adhere to applicable standards regarding the ethical acceptance of industry funding. Such individuals and organizations should adopt standards that are most relevant to them and their existing or prospective financial relationships with industry.

3) The Medical Society of Virginia supports the efforts of academic medical institutions to provide education and guidance regarding conflict of interest issues and encourages students and residents to maintain their awareness of these issues throughout their training and into their careers.

4) The Medical Society of Virginia will maintain an information center on its Web site dedicated to providing updated ethical standards and educational resources concerning industry funding and conflict of interest issues.

5) Outside funding opportunities will be reviewed prior to submission for potential conflicts and undue influence by the funder, and will be reviewed post hoc to assure the integrity of the process has been maintained.

6) Outside funding of MSV activities will remain open to the review of its membership. The MSV is committed to transparency and rigorous review of all outside funding for any potential or real conflicts of interest.

140.006 - Organ Harvesting Without Consent
Date: 08/14/2014
MSV opposes unethical organ harvesting practices and fully supports prosecution of those found to have committed such offenses or assisted in procurement and transportation of human tissues or organs that were obtained without consent.

145.000 Firearms: Safety and Regulation

145.001 - Children and Gun Safety
Date: 10/30/1999
The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation to require safety devices to be sold with each gun sold in Virginia, either at a regulated gun store or through other means such as gun shows.

Further, the MSV continues to support Medical Society of Virginia Alliance and other public education gun safety programs.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014
145.002 - Control of Violent Use of Firearms

Date: 11/11/1989

The Medical Society of Virginia supports methods to control the misuse and violent use of firearms.

Reaffirmed 10/25/2009
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

145.003 - Support for Firearm Laws Promoting Increased Public Safety

Date: 11/2/2012

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes repeal of existing state or federal laws and regulations that promote safety and responsibility in the purchase, possession or use of firearms and ammunition. The MSV supports future laws and regulations relating to firearms which would promote trauma control and increased public safety.

Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

145.004 – MSV School Gun Violence Deterrence Initiative

Date: 5/6/2017

The MSV Board of Directors and relevant stakeholders will engage in an exploratory discussion on the enhancement of protective measures for safety and the deterrence of gun violence in the Commonwealth of Virginia. The coalition formed by the MSV will provide a model for collaborative leadership nationally in our mutual desire to deter gun violence in our nation’s schools.

155.000 Health Care Costs

155.001 - Truth in Virginia Health Care Database

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV opposes the collection of charge data as a substitute for cost data and endorses legislation to support the collection of meaningful cost data.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

160.000 Health Care Delivery

160.001 - Access without Discrimination

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that all citizens of Virginia should have access to medical services without discrimination based on race, religion, age, social status, income, sexual orientation or perceived gender.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
160.002 - Continuity of Care
Date: 10/31/1998
The Medical Society of Virginia believes that Virginia physicians performing surgery have an ethical responsibility to continue the care of their individual patients through the post-surgical recovery and healing period.
Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

160.003 - Free Clinics
Date: 11/8/1997
The Medical Society of Virginia emphatically supports voluntarily staffed Free Clinics.
The Medical Society applauds physician involvement in the development of and participation in Free Clinics and encourages local component societies to publicize free clinic activities so that such services are recognized and utilized to their fullest capacity.
The Medical Society supports the existing civil immunity protections for volunteer health professionals and for the free clinics themselves.
Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

160.004 - Funding for Mandated Medical Procedures
Date: 10/30/1999
The Medical Society of Virginia is opposed to the provision of unfunded medical mandates by the Commonwealth of Virginia.
Additionally, the Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation to provide adequate funding mechanisms for all state medical mandates, now and in the future.
Reaffirmed 10/25/2009

160.005 - Involvement of Local Businesses
Date: 11/3/1990
The Medical Society of Virginia encourages its local component societies to enlist the support of their local business communities in local plans to provide care to the medically indigent since the efficient delivery of care to this population would lessen cost shifting to insured patients.
Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

160.006 - Physician Determination of Length of Stay
Date: 11/4/1995
The Medical Society of Virginia reaffirms that physician professional opinion should be the determining factor in establishing the need for continued hospitalization.

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes legislation giving anyone other than the attending physician the authority to determine length of stay.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

**160.007 - Physician Verbal Orders**

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia advocates that physician verbal orders may be countersigned at the time of completion of the medical record.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

**160.008 - Referrals from Physicians**

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that a physician should at all times practice a method of healing founded on a scientific basis. A physician may refer a patient for diagnostic or therapeutic services to another physician, a licensed limited practitioner, or any other provider of health care services permitted by law to furnish such services, whenever the physician believes that this will benefit the patient. As in the case of referrals to physician specialists, referrals to allied health practitioners should be based on their individual competence and ability to perform the services needed by the patient.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

**160.009 - Tax Credits for Services to the Uninsured**

Date: 10/31/1992

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the investigation of the feasibility of a tax credit for physicians who provide medical care to the uninsured indigent.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

**160.010 - Store Based Health Clinics**

Date: 10/25/2009

It is MSV policy that any individual, company, or other entity that establishes and/or operations store-based health clinics should adhere to the following principles:

1. Store-based health clinics must have a well-defined and limited scope of clinical services, consistent with state scope of practice laws.
2. Store-based health clinics must use standardized medical protocols derived from evidence-based practice guidelines to insure patient safety and quality of care.
3. Store-based health clinics must establish arrangements by which their health care practitioners have direct access to and supervision by MD/DOs, as consistent with state laws.

4. Store-based health clinics must establish protocols for ensuring continuity of care with practicing physicians within the local community.

5. Store-based health clinics must establish a referral system with physician practices or other facilities for appropriate treatment if the patient’s conditions or symptoms are beyond the scope of services provided by the clinic.

6. Store-based health clinics must clearly inform patients in advance of the qualifications of the health care practitioners who are providing care, as well as the limitation in the types of illnesses that can be diagnosed and treated.

7. Store-based health clinics must establish appropriate sanitation and hygienic guidelines and facilities to insure the safety of patients.

8. Store-based health clinics should be encouraged to use electronic health records as a means of communicating patient information and facilitating continuity of care.

9. Store-based health clinics should encourage patients to establish care with a primary care physician to ensure continuity of care.

160.011 - Patient Centered Medical Home

Date: 9/26/2009

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the Patient Centered Medical Home as outlined in the Joint Principles of the Patient Centered Medical Home that were adopted by the American Academy of Family Physicians, American Academy of Pediatrics, American College of Physicians and American Osteopathic Association in February 2007.

165.000 Health Care/System Reform

165.001 - Fair Market Competition/Systems

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the concept of neutral public policy and fair market competition among all systems of health care delivery.

The potential growth of HMOs should not be determined by federal subsidy, preferential federal regulation, or federal advertising promotion, but by the number of consumers who prefer this mode of delivery. Further, public policy should not exempt HMOs from fair market competition and applicable laws.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

165.002 - Freedom of Choice - Patients and Physicians

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes any legislative program which would prevent free choice of physician by patient or patient by physician.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014
165.003 - Free-Market

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia endorses a plurality of health care delivery and financing systems in a free market setting.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

165.006 - Information on Health Care Plans to Patients

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia supports increased patient access to information in selecting health care plans.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

165.007 - Insurance Company Liability

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV will support legislation or regulation which would mandate that Virginia health insurance companies, HMOs or other managed care entities be held liable for damages for harm to an insured or enrollee caused by the health care treatment decisions made by its employees, agents, ostensible agents or representatives acting on its behalf.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

165.008 - Medical Savings Accounts

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia endorses Medical Savings Accounts as a way to improve patient choice and access to health care.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

165.009 - Opposition to Preferential Treatment

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes any program which would create or perpetuate preferential treatment of any one system or plan of health care over another.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

165.011 - Physician Re-Credentialing by Managed Care Plans
Date: 11/8/1997

MSV supports that when physicians change a practice location or practice arrangement, their status with all managed care plans should remain unchanged; and that there be no need for re-selection or renewal of the credentialing process for those physicians when such changes occur.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

165.012 - Physician’s Freedom of Choice

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the right of every physician to choose those persons whom he or she will accept as patients and also to exercise his or her choice by the terms of contractual arrangements with other physicians, medical groups, hospitals, or other institutions.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

165.014 - Right of Physicians to Negotiate Medical Cost and Utilization

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia, in order to advance health care system reform, believes that modification of antitrust regulations is needed to allow appropriate collective negotiations by physicians.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014

165.015 - Same Rules for competitors

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that all providers should be subject to the same rules as their competitors in order to further the development of competition in the health care industry.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

165.016 - Single Payer System

Date: 10/31/1992

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes a national system of providing and/or financing Universal Health Care.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

165.018 - Tax Fairness

Date: 11/5/1994

The MSV actively supports the concept that the purchase of health plan coverage whether by employer, group
cooperative, or individual be treated with equal federal and state tax consequences.

The MSV supports legislation in the Virginia legislature which results in equal Virginia tax fairness.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

**165.019 - Third Party Payer Retroactive Denials**

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV opposes retroactive denials of previously authorized and paid physician claims by third-party payers.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

**165.020 - Use of Medicine/Business Coalitions/Reform**

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia endorses the use of medicine/business coalitions to discuss problems of mutual concern and to work together to seek health system reform in the Commonwealth.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

**165.021 - Guidelines for Health Care System Reform**

Date: 2/5/2011

The Medical Society of Virginia adopts the following guidelines for health care system reform:

1. Every individual should be required to have an insurance policy that meets individual and family needs. The health care system should be structured so as to encourage the individual purchase of insurance, with a blend of public, private and employer-based incentives.

2. All Virginians should be granted access to essential health care through a defined minimum benefit package.

3. Universal health care should be provided through a private sector/public sector partnership that encourages and emphasizes the responsibility of the individual.

4. Government programs should provide assistance to those unable to provide coverage for themselves or their families. Public financial support for the indigent should be provided through appropriate patient vouchers, incentives and tax credits for the purchase of health insurance.

5. All reform should include the absorption of current Medicare, Medicaid and federal employee health benefits programs. The Medicaid program should be reformed and/or replaced with an alternative system designed to provide benefits to persons at or below the poverty level.

6. The health insurance market should be reformed to increase availability of affordable health insurance options. These reforms should include:
   - community based rating
   - creation of state risk pools
• elimination of waiting periods and pre-existing condition clauses
• approved health benefit insurance options
• greater emphasis on providing coverage for catastrophic, long term and preventive care
• portability of coverage

7. The health care system should place increased emphasis upon the patient's responsibility for his/her health and insurance premiums should be structured to encourage healthy lifestyles and preventive care. Individuals should be encouraged and rewarded for healthy behaviors (e.g., reduced consumption of alcohol and tobacco, use of seat belts, healthy eating habits and body weight, consistent exercise).

8. Costs and quality should be controlled in part by ensuring that appropriate medical procedures are delivered in a cost effective manner. This can be accomplished through:
   1. the development and appropriate use of professionally developed practice parameters
   2. enactment of meaningful tort reform to reduce costs associated with the defensive practice of medicine
   3. providing immunity to physicians who withdraw or withhold care appropriately deemed to be medically futile by an interdisciplinary ethics committee
   4. administrative efficiencies
   5. regulatory reform

9. Quality of care is paramount in the doctor/patient relationship and should be promoted by:
   • appropriate initial and continuing physician education programs
   • credentialing of physicians subject to any willing provider provisions
   • encouraging the ethical practice of medicine
   • eliminating economic disincentives to provide appropriate care
   • appropriate quality assurance mechanisms

10. The patient should be encouraged to base health care decisions on value considerations. Value competition in the health care marketplace should be enhanced by:
    • creating easily accessible sources (public and/or private) of information regarding the fees and qualifications of physicians and other health care providers
    • requiring physicians and other health care providers to release price information upon request prior to treatment
    • encouraging the voluntary release of fee information when feasible

11. Administrative costs should be reduced, and the fairness and appropriateness of coverage decisions should be improved by:
    • requiring all third-party payers to use a uniform claims form
    • requiring professional development and universal use of one set of medical necessity and utilization review screening criteria by all third-party payers
    • eliminating unnecessary regulation and/or streamlining cumbersome regulation of physicians and other health care providers

165.022 - “Not-for-Profit” Tax Status under PPACA

Date: 10/30/2011
The Medical Society of Virginia will support a legislative study of the “not-for-profit” tax status requirements for hospital and health systems’ under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA).

165.023 - Anti-Trust Relief for Physicians

Date: 1/16/2012

The Medical Society of Virginia supports allowing physicians to negotiate collectively with insurance companies by asking our state’s congressman and senators to co-sponsor or support House Resolution 1409, the “Quality Health Care Coalition Act of 2011,” in its current and un-amended form.

165.024 - Physician Participation in Efforts to Control Healthcare Costs

Date: 10/16/2016

The Medical Society of Virginia supports efforts to increase transparency for charges related to the provision of health care.

170.000 Health Education

170.001 - Addition of Testicular Cancer Education to the High School Health Class Curriculum

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV recommends that information be included in high school health class curriculum about the importance of the male self-testicular exam, including its presentation, epidemiology, and the technique.

MSV will promote development of a curriculum on testicular cancer with physician and appropriate special society input to support and encourage the Department of Education to include it in the Standards of Learning for Health Classes.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

170.002 - Comprehensive Health Education

Date: 11/3/1990

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the concept of comprehensive health education programs.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

170.003 - Family Life Education

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV supports the inclusion of Family Life Education in the state mandated curriculum for public schools in Virginia.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

170.004 - Health Literacy

Date: 11/4/2002
The Medical Society of Virginia supports health literacy programs and projects that increase the awareness of health literacy as well as educate patients and health care professionals on techniques to strengthen the patient/physician relationship and improve health literacy.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

175.000 Health Information

175.001 - Physician Profiles and Health Care Data Collection

Date: 10/31/1998

The MSV:
1) Urges local medical societies, specialty societies, hospital medical staff, and individual physicians to seek active involvement in the development, implementation, and evaluation of physician profiling initiatives;
2) Encourages research to develop improved data sources, methods, and feedback approaches to physician profiling initiatives;
3) Opposes the use of profiling procedures that do not meet AMA principles for the credentialing or termination of physicians by managed care plans;
4) Opposes physician profiling data being used for economic credentialing purposes;
5) Believes that any disclosure or release of physician profiles shall follow strict conformance to MSV and AMA policy on the use and release of physician-specific health care data (AMA Policy H-406.996); and
6) Will monitor the use of profiling procedures related to physician profiling.

The MSV:
1) Continues to advocate that health care data collected by government and third party payers be used for education of both consumers and providers; and
2) Believes that government, third party payers and self-insured companies should make physician-specific utilization information available to medical societies.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

175.002 - De-Identified Aggregate Patient Health Data

Date: 05/02/2015

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the use or development of tools which utilize de-identified aggregate patient health data to improve care methodologies and will advocate for appropriate protections that allow such use and analysis.

180.000 Health Insurance

180.001 - Assignment of Benefits

Date: 10/30/1999

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation in Virginia that physicians or other health care providers who file insurance claims for their patients and who have appropriately executed Assignment of Benefits directly receive insurance reimbursement for their medical services from the payer, whether or not they are participating providers with the insurance plan. MSV will continue to lobby our legislators educationally, and
will introduce assignment of benefits legislation when the situation is appropriate.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

180.003 - Billing for Medically Unnecessary Care

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV supports legislation or regulation to require that all third-party payers allow physicians to bill patients for care deemed by the plan to be "non-covered" or "medically unnecessary" if the patient agrees in advance to bear financial responsibility for the services.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

180.004 - Catastrophic Care

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia endorses the concept of health care plans containing catastrophic coverage.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

180.005 - Closed Panel HMOs

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes the use of tax exempt funds for the establishment of any closed panel HMO and petitions the General Assembly for legislative relief from such unfair competitive practices.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

180.006 - Insurance Market Reform

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia supports administrative or legislative action to require that the actual discount on each hospital claim and the amount actually paid to the hospital for an insurance claim be made available to both the employer and employee.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014

180.007 - Low Cost Insurance Product

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the concept of a low cost health insurance product and that efforts be continued in pursuing a low cost insurance product to be available for uninsured Virginians, low income workers, and small businesses.
Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

180.009 - Pay for Performance

Date: 11/6/2005

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the AMA’s “Principles and Guidelines for Pay-for-Performance Programs.”

Reaffirmed as amended 10/25/2015

180.010 - Secondary Insurance Pre-Certification and Reimbursement

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation requiring secondary insurance to accept the utilization standards, preauthorization guidelines and reimbursement fee schedule of the primary insurance company when they are acting as a secondary insurer. Their function should be to reimburse for any coinsurance or deductible payments based on the primary insurance fee schedule, and should require no separate preauthorization and have no utilization standards when acting as a secondary insurer.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

180.011 - Transfer of Medical Care

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes the detrimental effect on covered patients of insurance policies that provide in-patient hospital coverage only if rendered in specified hospitals and require as condition of such coverage that the insured be required to transfer his medical care from his primary physician to a hospital staff physician.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

180.012 - Third Party Payer Fair Business Practice Principles

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia supports good faith negotiations on these third party payer fair business practices with the appropriate health plans or state organizations. Should negotiations not achieve satisfactory results, the Medical Society of Virginia shall seek appropriate regulatory or legislative action.

The President of the Medical Society of Virginia shall establish an ad hoc committee to guide and monitor the accomplishment of this policy and to study the following additional issues:

A. The need for insurers to file a medical impact statement prior to amending policies that govern access and treatment to medical care, and
B. The substance and structure of such a statement, if sufficient need exists, to warrant a medical impact statement, and
C. The need for a statute of limitations for retroactive refunds by insurers, and
D. The need for assurance that there will be no retaliation against physicians or groups who do not accept certain contracts offered by insurers, and
E. Determine if precertification processes used by many insurers is detrimental to medical care and treatment.

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that these managed care fair business practices should include but not be limited to:

I. Payment issues:
   A. Establish a statutory definition of a clean claim,
   B. Place a time limit for full payment of clean claims,
   C. Disclose to the contracted practice the processing procedure for claims approval,
   D. Prohibit the arbitrary bundling of unbundled claims,
   E. Prohibit automatic or arbitrary downcoding of claims and request the review of such acts by the Virginia Commissioner of Insurance,
   F. Prohibit the garnishment of payment on Explanation of Benefits (EOB),
   G. Limit the time for retroactive denial of payments when requesting a refund from a physician after the time the service was provided,
   H. Publish the contracted prices to be paid for claims 3 months prior to their effective date, and,
   I. Publish the contracted adjudication guidelines three months in advance of their effective date.
   J. Prohibit health plans from fining physicians or denying/withholding payment in instances of patient non-compliance with health plan referral requirements.

II. Contract Issues:
   A. Require a reasonable time limit for physicians to receive certification in order to be paid by the plan, or require the plan to pay for the services while waiting for certification,
   B. Prohibit the “Most Favored Nation” clause from contracts, and,
   C. Prohibit retaliation against physicians or groups who do not accept certain contracts offered by insurers.

III. Physician Due Process:
   A. Require a reasonable time limit to receive a precertification authorization for treatment,
   B. Provide physicians access to their profiling data, and,
   C. Provide procedural due process to physicians expelled from a health plan to include adequate notification of removal, explanation of the reasons for the removal, and the ability to contest the proposed removal through an external appeals process.

IV. Patient Issues:
   A. Require that precertification by telephone be toll free for physicians and patients,
   B. Require a managed care organization (MCO), insurer, dental plan, or pharmacy benefits manager using a formulary to disclose to its subscribers initially and at least annually its formulary and a description of the process for developing the formulary,
   C. Require any carrier using a restrictive formulary for prescription medications to allow patients to obtain, without penalty to the physician and the patient and in a timely manner, specific drugs and medications not included in the formulary when the formulary’s equivalent has been clinically ineffective or when the physician treating the patient believes the formulary’s medication causes, or is reasonably expected to cause adverse or harmful reactions in the patient,
   D. Eliminate the necessity for approval or referral from the primary care physician in order for patients to be covered for after-hours urgent care or emergency service in accordance with the prudent layperson statute,
   E. Require MCOs to educate their members that their physicians are available after hours for medical advice, but that the decision for payment for after-hours urgent care or emergency service is made by the MCO, based on criteria of medical necessity in accordance with state and federal law,
F. Require insurance companies to log in appeals at the time of their receipt,
G. That MCOs not encourage short-term mail order prescriptions and not financially penalize those who have prescriptions filled locally,
H. Create an objective and timely process for considering the authorization of investigational treatments and for evaluating coverage of innovative technologies, drugs, devices, and procedures.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

180.013 - Requests for Patient Information

Date: 5/31/2014

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the American Medical Association’s efforts to address the issue of insurance companies’ unrestricted gathering of patient information and will continue to monitor this practice.

185.000 Health Insurance: Benefits and Coverage

185.001 - Coverage for Newborn Hearing Screenings

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia supports mandatory reimbursement for newborn hearing screenings and follow up diagnostic testing for those infants referred after the initial screening.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

185.002 - Coverage of Medical Formulas and Foods for Medicaid Patients Suffering from PKU

Date: 11/4/2002

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation to mandate Medicaid coverage of PHE-restricted diets for PKU patients over 18 years of age.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

185.003 - Insurance Coverage for Surgical and Medical Treatment of Obesity and Morbid Obesity

Date: 11/7/2004

The Medical Society of Virginia affirms the need for government and commercial insurance coverage of legitimate medical diagnostic evaluation and treatments for obesity. The Medical Society of Virginia supports mandated insurance coverage for those surgical and medical treatments for morbid obesity that are nationally recognized as effective for the long-term reversal of morbid obesity.

Reaffirmed 11/5/2006
Reaffirmed 10/16/2016

185.004 - Insurance Denial of Reimbursement for Failure to Notify Primary Care Physician for Emergency Room Admissions

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV supports legislation prohibiting the practice of denial of provider reimbursement secondary to non-
notification of the managed care organization’s primary care physician or gatekeeper prior to the "on call" physician assuming care of the seriously ill patient.

MSV endorses the following principles:

a) Patients should not be required to receive preauthorization from a health plan prior to receiving emergency services;

b) Health plans should be required to educate their enrollees about coverage for emergency services, including the location of participating emergency departments, the appropriate use of 911, cost-sharing provisions for emergency services, and the processes and procedures for obtaining emergency care;

c) Health plans should be required to cover emergency services provided to patients who meet the "prudent layperson" standard under Virginia law.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

185.005 - PSA Screening
Date: 11/8/1997

MSV supports insurance coverage for scientifically sound methods of screening for prostate cancer.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

190.000 Health Insurance: Claim Forms and Claims Processing

190.001 - Timely Insurance Claims Payment
Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation requiring managed care organizations to pay interest on claims unpaid thirty days after submission.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

190.002 - Payment with Remittance Advice
Date: 08/14/2014

The Medical Society of Virginia strongly encourages health plans to include comprehensive remittance advice in a user-friendly format with any payment or payment retraction, and will address any related member complaints accordingly.

195.000 Health Maintenance Organizations

195.001 - Point of Service Option
Date: 11/4/1995
The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation requiring a point-of-service option for every health insurance policy.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

200.000 Health Manpower

200.001 - Educational Programs

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia endorses educational programs which would encourage all citizens to retain a primary physician.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

200.002 - Expansion of Primary Care Departments/Medical Schools

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the expansion and responsibilities of the primary care departments and services at the five medical schools with scheduled rural health participation periods for all students.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011

200.003 - Improve Physician Placement

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the Commonwealth of Virginia looking at ways of improving the retention of graduates of Family Practice residencies in medically underserved rural areas of Virginia.

The Medical Society of Virginia recommends that the Commonwealth of Virginia increase staff, support, and funding for the Office of Rural Health. In addition, the MSV recommends that the Virginia Department of Health promote opportunities to practice in rural and health professional shortage areas (HPSA).

The Medical Society of Virginia requests that the Office of Health Planning publish a brochure for physicians to help them know what the process is to convert a county, census tract or designated population into a HPSA.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

200.004 - Inequitable Reimbursement of Primary Care Physicians under RBRVS

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that the application of grossly inaccurate practice overhead RVU's in the calculation of RBRVS payment schedules to primary care medical practice seriously undermines the fiscal viability of such practices and fosters the denial of care to tens of millions of America's elderly and disadvantaged populations and supports recalculation of practice overhead RVU's based on current available data.
200.005 - Medical School Primary Care Practice Programs

Date: 10/31/1992

The Medical Society of Virginia should encourage the state to strengthen primary practice programs within the medical schools to the extent that at least 50% of graduates practice as primary care physicians and, if necessary, urge budgetary incentives by the state legislature to achieve such a goal.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

200.006 - Medically Underserved Areas

Date: 11/3/1990

The Medical Society of Virginia shall continue its current efforts and initiate other appropriate efforts to attract physicians to the medically underserved areas of Virginia.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011

200.007 - Providing Better Access to Primary Care in Federally Designated Health Professional Shortage Areas

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia supports changing federal legislation to reinstate the private practice repayment option for indebted physician providers by the National Health Service Corps., so that the National Health Service Corps Loan Repayment Program would apply to all primary care physicians wishing to locate in private practice or in not-for-profit primary health care facilities and practice in a health professional shortage area as designated.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014

200.009 - Solo Practice/Liability/Medical Review

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia shall make efforts to protect the concept of solo practice in primary care against the prejudices of medical liability insurance companies, and medical review processes which focus on solo practicing physicians as compared to group practices.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011

200.010 - Support for Project Access Programs

Date: 10/25/2009

The Medical Society of Virginia affirms the value of physician-directed Project Access programs and equivalent initiatives around the Commonwealth that provide pro bono health care services to underinsured
and uninsured individuals. MSV encourages entities to provide financial resources in the form of grants or other support to such initiatives.

205.000 Health Planning

205.001 - MSV COPN Policy

Date: 1/20/2016

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the deregulation of COPN. MSV will consider supporting individual COPN legislation on a case-by-case basis, with decision for approval derived from previously adopted principles of patient safety and access to quality, affordable health care. The MSV continues to support the economic viability of Virginia's academic health centers. Newly deregulated services should be required to meet a charity care commitment as well as recognized standards of accreditation or quality.

Reaffirmed 5/6/2017

210.000 Home Health Services

210.001 - Home Health Agencies

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia shall work with appropriate governmental agencies in an effort to develop a reimbursement schedule with respect to home health care commensurate with the tasks performed by the physician provider, especially the primary care physician.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011

215.000 Hospitals

215.001 - Academic Medical Centers

Date: 11/5/1994

The MSV recognizes the importance of academic medical centers and supports measures to protect the integrity of quality medical education.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

225.000 Hospitals: Medical Staff

225.001 - MSV Role in Disputes

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that it should not intervene in disputes between physicians and hospital medical staff.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

225.002 - Physician Members

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that physicians should serve on hospital governing boards and action committees.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

230.000 Hospitals: Medical Staff - Credentialing and Privileges

230.001 - Acute Emergent Medical/Surgical Service Pre-Authorization

Date: 10/30/1999

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation making preauthorization of acute emergent medical and/or surgical services by insurance plans unnecessary in determining reimbursement for hospitals and physicians.

Reaffirmed 10/25/2009

230.002 - Economic Credentialing

Date: 9/16/2000

In Accordance with AMA policy 230.975, the Medical Society of Virginia:

1) Adopts the following definition of economic credentialing: economic credentialing is defined as the use of economic criteria unrelated to the quality of care or professional competency in determining an individual’s qualifications for initial or continuing hospital medical staff membership or privileges;

2) Strongly opposes the practice of economic credentialing;

3) Believes that physicians should continue to work with their hospital boards and administrators to develop appropriate educational uses of physician hospital utilization and related financial data and that any such data collected be reviewed by professional peers and shared with the individual physicians from whom it was collected;

4) Believes that physicians should attempt to assure provision in their hospital medical staff bylaws of an appropriate role for the medical staff in decisions to grant or maintain exclusive contracts or to close medical staff departments;

5) Will communicate its policy and concerns on economic credentialing on a continuous basis to the American Hospital Association, Federation of American Health Systems, and other appropriate organizations.

6) Encourages state medical societies to review their respective state statutes with regard to economic credentialing, and as appropriate, to seek modifications therein;

7) Will explore the development of draft model legislation that would acknowledge the role of the medical staff in the hospital medical staff credentialing process and assure various elements of medical staff
self-governance; and

8) Will study and address the issues posed by the use of economic credentialing in other health care settings and delivery systems (CMS Rep. B, I-91)

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

230.003 - Economic Credentialing Criteria

Date: 9/16/2000

In accordance with AMA Policy 230.976, the Medical Society of Virginia opposes the use of economic criteria not related to quality to determine an individual physician’s qualifications for the granting or renewal of medical staff membership or privileges (Res. 2, A-91).

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

230.004 - Encouragement of Open Hospital Medical Staffs

Date: 9/16/2000

In accordance with AMA Policy 230.976, the Medical Society of Virginia affirms its support for the principle of open staff privileges for physicians, based on training, experience, and demonstrated competence.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

230.006 - Physician Hospital Admitting Privileges and Managed Care Organizations

Date: 10/30/1999

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation that prevents insurance companies from terminating or accepting physicians based on the hospital at which they have admitting privileges.

Reaffirmed 10/25/2009

240.000 Hospitals: Reimbursement

240.001 - Liens for Hospital and Medical Services

Date: 11/5/1994

The MSV supports a statutory change in the Code of Virginia regarding liens for hospital and medical services such that the amount of the statutory lien is increased to cover the reasonable and necessary charges; that a formal recording system for such liens be created; and that the payers of personal injury proceeds be liable for satisfaction of hospital/medical liens for up to one year after the date of payment of proceeds.

Reaffirmed 11/07/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

245.000 Infant Health
245.001 - Autopsies
Date: 11/8/1997
The Medical Society of Virginia endorses the position of the American Academy of Pediatrics and urges all attending physicians to obtain autopsies on all suspected cases of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome.
Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

245.002 - Diagnosis and Autopsies of Sudden and Unexpected Deaths
Date: 10/31/1992
The Medical Society of Virginia actively supports legislation requiring that the diagnosis of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome shall not be made until other causes are excluded by a thorough postmortem exam.
The Medical Society of Virginia actively supports legislation which requires that autopsies be performed in all sudden and unexpected deaths in infants less than one year of age.
Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

245.005 - Mandatory Newborn Hearing Screening
Date: 11/4/2000
The Medical Society of Virginia supports mandatory hearing screenings for all newborns.
Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

245.006 - One Web Portal for Newborn Reports
Date: 1/16/2012
The Medical Society of Virginia will support legislation to link the reporting systems for newborn hearing and blood-spot screenings with the Virginia Immunization Information System (VIIS) in one web-based portal.

260.000 Laboratories
260.001 - Endorsement of the Commission on Office Laboratory Accreditation (COLA) Program
Date: 10/30/1993
The Medical Society of Virginia endorses the accreditation program for office laboratories of the Commission on Office Laboratory Accreditation.
Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014

270.000 Legislation and Regulation
270.002 - Federal Regulation of Private System
Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes any legislation which would increase federal regulation of or control over the private health care system.

Reaffirmed 11/07/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

**270.003 - Federal Regulations**

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the efforts of the American Medical Association to seek the repeal of CLIA 88 and OSHA rules that are of unproven value and place onerous financial and time burdens on medical offices and laboratories.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014

**270.004 - Harassment**

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation at every level to soften harassment of physicians under "antitrust," adverse data bank, and peer review organizations.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011

**270.006 - Physician Involvement in State Legislative Advocacy**

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia supports physician involvement in state-level legislative advocacy and encourages members to have active ongoing relationships with members of the General Assembly through visits and events during and between sessions and getting to know their representatives’ legislative aides.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed as substituted 10/25/2015

**270.008 - Requests from State Legislators**

Date: 11/4/1995

Upon request, the Medical Society of Virginia (MSV) Government Affairs staff will provide any member of the Virginia General Assembly a list of physicians with mailing addresses in his or her legislative district. When the list is sent to the legislator, a clear message will be included stating that the list is intended for constituent communications only, not for political purposes. Because the list is provided as a service to legislators to increase constituent communication between legislators and physicians and not for political purposes, there is no in-kind value assigned to the list. Exceptions to the aforementioned policy will be considered and decided by the MSVPAC Chairman.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed as substituted 10/25/2015
270.009 - Support of Countersuits

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia supports pursuit of justifiable countersuits.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

270.010 - Use of "Physician"

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the concept that the word physician be restricted for use by one who is a graduate of a school of medicine or osteopathy.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

270.011 - Virginia Birth-Related Neurological Injury Compensation Program

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia fully supports the Virginia Birth-Related Neurological Injury Compensation Fund and supports that notice describing the program and its benefits be given to all obstetric patients.

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the statutory definition of “birth-related neurological injury” but is willing to consider any change of the program’s current definition based on its merit.

The Medical Society continues to monitor the actuarial soundness of the fund and supports the statutory reduction of assessments so long as the fund remains sound.

The Medical Society supports the establishment of a trust fund or other appropriate mechanism designed to ensure prudent investment of the fund’s resources for the benefit of the injured patient.

The Medical Society opposes any attempt to redirect the funds from its intended purpose.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

275.000   Licensure and Discipline

275.002 - Chiropractic Licensure under the Board of Medicine

Date: 10/31/1992

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the principle that chiropractors and the public are best served by the current system of keeping the regulations of several health professions coordinated by a single board.

Reaffirmed 11/02/2012

275.003 - Department of Health Professions
Date: 11/5/1994

The MSV believes that the Department of Health Professions should not be regulated by or merged with the Department of Health.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

275.004 - Licensure of Managed Care Decision Makers

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislative efforts to require that managed care treatment decision makers, whether in or out of state, be required to hold a valid Virginia license for their particular discipline.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

275.006 - Medical Decision Making

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV supports legislation that would require a Virginia medical license to be held by individuals who determine "medical necessity" for reimbursement on behalf of health plans.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

275.007 - Medical License Linkage to Hospital ER Call

Date: 11/6/2005

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes any linkage of a physician’s medical license to providing hospital emergency department on call coverage.

Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

275.008 - Medical License Linkage with Medicare/ Medicaid Participants

Date: 11/4/2002

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes any linkage between physician licensure and Medicare/ Medicaid participation.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

275.009 - Plan Expulsion and Licensure Board Discipline

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV opposes the practice of physician expulsion from health benefit plans on the basis of licensure board disciplinary action without suspension or revocation of license, specifically censure or reprimand.

MSV supports well-defined disciplinary categories that would accurately describe the nature of the disciplinary action.
275.011 - Qualifications for the State Health Commissioner

Date: 10/30/1999

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the requirements for the position of State Health Commissioner established in Code of Virginia § 32.1-17 as follows:

- Board certification by either the American Board of Preventative Medicine or a recognized board in a primary care specialty (as approved by the American Board of Medical Specialists or the Bureau of Osteopathic Specialists of the American Osteopathic Association) and experience in public health.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

275.013 - Use of Title “Dr.”

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the enforcement by appropriate state agencies of the statutes requiring the disclosure of degree earned when using prefix “Dr.” for advertising purposes.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed as amended 10/25/2015

275.014 - Board of Medicine Sanctions

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes publication of a sanction recommendation until the entire appeal process has run its course.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

275.015 - Interstate Licensure Compact in Virginia

Date: 10/25/2015

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the development and implementation of an Interstate Medical Compact in Virginia and supports the required legislative and regulatory efforts necessary to adopt the Interstate Licensure Compact in Virginia.

285.000 Managed Care

285.001 - Access to Surgical Services

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia supports reasonable fees for medical and surgical services rendered by physicians of the Commonwealth.
285.002 - Balance Billing

Date: 11/4/2000

The Medical Society of Virginia supports physician’s ability to accept assignment of benefits and to balance bill patients that have coverage through a managed care organization with whom the physician does not have a contractual relationship.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

285.003 - Capitation

Date: 11/8/1997

A. The Medical Society should not seek to legislatively eliminate capitation as mechanism of payer reimbursement to physicians since it represents only one type of reimbursement among a variety of mechanisms, of which Fee for Service is another. Such action might invite an attempt to legislatively outlaw fee for service reimbursement for similar reasons. Also, to do away with capitation as health insurance option could be considered to be in opposition to the long standing AMA policy of pluralism in a patient’s right to choice.

B. To empower and protect the physician to advocate for the patient within the capitation system of reimbursement, the following qualities should be sought through legislation and regulation:

- Physicians have participation in and final say in capitation plans quality management program and guidelines.
- The purchaser must disclose to the employee/member of the capitation plan the exposure to the incentive risks and insurance risks imposed upon the physician.
- The State Health Commissioner and Insurance Commissioner must evaluate the capitation plan to declare the covered benefits in the plan, the quality management system and the actuarially determined funding of the plan are appropriate and adequate to provide a level of care to the plan members as meeting State standards.
- All capitation plans licensed to operate in the State must provide adequate ‘stop loss’ insurance to empower and protect the physician to give the member medical care that meets Health Commissioner standards.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

285.004 - Managed Care and Patient Choice

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation that mandates that any insurance company or managed care health delivery system functioning in the Commonwealth of Virginia provide a provision which allows a patient enrollee an option to seek health care outside the managed care network with a reasonable (not punitive) financial voucher.
285.005 - Medical Utilization Review

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation to make the Medical Utilization Review statute more effective by deleting the exclusion in the present definition of "private review agent" as found in the Code of Virginia. The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation to make certain that all persons performing utilization review be included in the Medical Utilization Review statute. The Medical Society of Virginia supports amendments that would include utilization review agents operating under ERISA.

Reaffirmed 11/5/2006
Reaffirmed 10/16/2016

285.006 - Most Favored Nation Clauses

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes the inclusion in physician insurance contracts of "Most Favored Nation Clauses" which obligate physicians to accept from one insurance company the lowest reimbursement rates that have been negotiated with other insurance companies. MSV supports passage of legislation within the state of Virginia to prohibit this practice.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

285.007 - Payment of Surgical Procedures

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation requiring third party payers to allow individual physicians to decide where surgical procedures should be performed. Should the individual physician have the necessary office infrastructure in place to safely perform surgery in an office setting, then the reimbursement should include a facility fee.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

285.008 - Principles of Managed Care

Date: 11/5/1994

Introduction

In an ideal world the needs of patients might best be served by free market competition and free choice by physicians and patients between alternative delivery and financing systems, with the growth of each system determined not by preferential regulation and subsidy, but by the number of persons who prefer that mode of delivery or financing. Unfortunately, in the real world unfettered capitalism may not adequately protect the
health care needs of Virginia's citizens, both sick and healthy, individual and corporate.

As this state's health care market place becomes increasingly dominated by health plans that utilize various managed care techniques that include decisions regarding coverage and the appropriateness of health care, it is a vital state governmental function to protect patients from unfair managed care practices.

Increasingly, it appears that insurance companies and other managed care organizations are aggressively discontinuing physicians from their networks, making inappropriate decisions to refuse, limit, or terminate health care services, and restricting patient's ability to make choices concerning their health care decisions and providers. It is essential to assure fairness in managed plans and to provide mechanisms for delineating necessary protections for both physicians and patients.

Therefore, The Medical Society of Virginia feels strongly that Virginia should adopt legislation which would require that managed care plans assure fairness to patients and providers. This would include state standards for certification of qualified managed care plans and utilization review programs as well as standards to ensure patient protection, physician and provider fairness, utilization review safeguards and coverage options for all patients, including the ability to enroll in a point of service plan. There should be a certification process with periodic reviews and recertification requirements.

Requirements for meeting the standards of certification should include the criteria articulated throughout the rest of this document.

Definitions

"Managed" care is defined as: systems or techniques generally used by third party payers or their agents to control access and payment for health care services.

Managed care techniques include: (a) Prior, concurrent, and retrospective review of the medical necessity and appropriateness of services and/or site of services. (b) Financial incentives or disincentives related to the use of specific providers, services, or service sites. (b2) Limitations on the numbers and types of providers included in the plan and mechanisms to initially exclude or, later, to deselect providers from plans, (c) Controlled access to and coordination of services by a gatekeeper (d) Payer efforts to identify treatment alternatives and modify benefit restrictions for high-cost patients (high cost case management).

A. Utilization review

The medical protocols and review criteria used in any utilization review or utilization management program must be developed by physicians. Public and private payers should be required to disclose to physicians on request the screening and review criteria, weighting elements, and computer algorithms utilized in the review process, and how they were developed.

A physician of the same branch of medicine (allopathic or osteopathic) and specialty must be involved in any decision by a utilization management program to deny or reduce coverage for services based on questions of medical necessity. All health plans conducting utilization management or utilization review should establish an appeals process whereby physicians, other health care providers, and patients may challenge policies restricting access to specific services and decisions to deny coverage for services, and have the right to review of any coverage denial based on medical necessity by a physician consultant who is of the same specialty and has appropriate expertise and experience in the field.

A physician whose services are being reviewed for medical necessity should be provided the identity of the reviewing physician on request. Any physician who makes judgments or recommendations regarding the necessity or appropriateness of services or site of services should be licensed to practice medicine and actively practicing in the same jurisdiction as the practitioner who is proposing or providing the reviewed
service and should be professionally and individually accountable for his or her decisions. The names and credentials of individuals conducting necessity or appropriateness reviews must be available upon request.

Any health plan or utilization management firm conducting a prior authorization program should act within two business days after receipt of any patient or physician request for prior authorization and respond by phone within one business day after receipt of other questions regarding medical necessity of services. Plans may not require prior authorization for emergency care.

Prior approval decisions should be valid unless based on fraud or incorrect information.

All health plans should establish a formal mechanism for participating physicians to have meaningful input into the plans’ medical policies, including coverage and utilization review criteria.

Health plans must safeguard medical record confidentiality and are responsible for making sure that patients sign the forms consenting to disclosure of medical information if prior authorization is required for any procedures or services.

**B. Gatekeepers, Limited Provider Panels, and Financial Disincentives**

Health care plans should be required to limit appropriately those arrangements in which the providers have a financial incentive to limit or deny services, including referrals for patients to specialists. Any financial arrangements that may tend to limit the services offered to patients, or contractual provisions that may restrict referral or treatment options, should be required by law to be fully disclosed to patients and prospective enrollees by all plans utilizing such arrangements.

Regulations protecting patients from under-referral for financial gain are just as desirable as regulations to limit physician self-referral because of concern about overutilization for financial gain.

Physicians and managed care organizations must disclose to their patients any financial inducements or contractual agreements that may tend to limit the diagnostic and therapeutic alternatives that are offered to patients or restrict referral or treatment options. Physicians may satisfy their disclosure obligations by assuring that the managed care plan adequate makes full disclosure of all such arrangements to patients enrolled in the plan. Physicians must also inform their patients of medically appropriate treatment options regardless of their cost or the extent of their coverage.

Physicians should have the right to enter into whatever contractual arrangements with health care systems they deem desirable and necessary, but should be aware of the potential for some types of systems to create undesirable conflicts of interest because of financial incentives to withhold medically indicated services. Physicians must not allow such financial incentives to influence their judgment of appropriate therapeutic alternatives or deny their patients access to appropriate services, including referrals to specialists, based on such inducements.

Physician payments that provide an incentive to limit the utilization of services should not link financial rewards with individual treatment decisions over periods of time insufficient to identify patterns of care nor should they expose the physician to excessive financial risk for services provided by physicians or institutions to whom he or she refers patients for diagnosis or treatment. When risk sharing arrangements are relied upon to deter excess utilization, physician incentive payments should be based on performance of groups of physicians rather than individual physicians, and should not be based on performance over short periods of time.

Alternative private health benefit plans, with different schedules of deductibles, coinsurance and premiums, should be available to enrollees so that they are aware of the financial tradeoffs associated with different plans. Both private and public third party payment systems should use deductibles and coinsurance as financial incentives for health care recipients to use health care resources in an appropriate manner.
However, cost-sharing should not result in an undue financial burden for the health care recipient, and should not act to prevent access to needed care.

Physicians, other health professionals, and third party payers through their reimbursement policies, should continue to encourage use of the least expensive care settings in which medical and surgical services can be provided safely and effectively with no detriment to quality. Evaluation of “Quality” should place some value on the continuity of the patient-physician relationship.

With the increased specialization of modern health care, it may be advantageous for each patient to have a single physician to help coordinate the medical care of the patient and to act as the repository for all of the medical information on the patient. The physician is best suited by professional preparation to assume this leadership role. It may be appropriate to utilize appropriate financial mechanisms to encourage patients to take optimum advantage of such a primary care provider. It may not be medically appropriate or cost effective to require that all medical care be provided by, or with permission from, one’s primary care provider.

Specialty physicians should have formal and meaningful input into developing each plan's policies on appropriateness of referrals to specialists.

All restrictive plans should notify physicians annually of their opportunity to apply for plan credentials; establish credentialing standards with input from physicians and make them available to applicants and enrollees. Selection criteria must be based on professional competence and quality of care and, in general, no single criterion, including specialty, should provide for the sole basis for selecting, retaining, or excluding a physician from a health delivery or financing system. Profiling must be adjusted for the individual physician's case mix. Physicians cannot be removed from a plan because their patients have rare, unusual or highly complex conditions which require specialized care and that are expensive to treat. Nor can they be removed under a contract that allows termination "without cause" or terminated or denied participation without explanation of reasons for the decisions, and an opportunity to appeal.

All plans should demonstrate adequate access to physicians and other providers, including specialists, to ensure timely, high quality service.

C. High Cost Case Management

The primary goal of high-cost case management or benefits management programs should be to help to arrange for the services most appropriate to the patient's needs. Cost containment is a legitimate but secondary objective. In developing an alternative treatment plan, the benefits manager should work closely with the patient, attending physician, and other relevant health professionals involved in the patient’s care.

When inordinate amounts of time or effort are involved in providing case management services required by a third-party payer which entail coordinating access to other health care services needed by the patient, or in complying with utilization review requirements, the physician may charge the payer or the patient for the reasonable cost incurred. "Inordinate" efforts are defined as those more costly, complex and time-consuming than the completion of standard health insurance claim forms, such as obtaining pre admission certification, second opinions on elective surgery, certification for extended length of stay, and other authorizations as a condition of payer coverage.

D. Disclosure

All health benefit plans should be required to clearly and understandably communicate to enrollees and prospective enrollees in a standard disclosure format those services which they will and will not cover and the extent of coverage for the former. The information disclosed should include the proportion of plan income devoted to utilization management, marketing, and other administrative costs, and the existence of any review requirements, financial arrangements or other restrictions that may limit services, referral or treatment options,
or negatively affect the physician's fiduciary responsibility to his or her patients. It is the responsibility of the patient and his or her health benefits plan to inform the treating physician of any coverage restrictions imposed by the plan.

E. Liability

All health plans utilizing managed care techniques, the medical director, and any involved reviewers should be subject to legal action for any harm incurred by the patient resulting from application of such techniques. Such plans should also be subject to legal action for any harm to enrollees resulting from failure to disclose prior to enrollment any coverage provisions; review requirements; financial arrangements; or other restrictions that may limit services, referral, or treatment options, or negatively affect the physician's fiduciary responsibility to his or her patient.

F. Consumer Choice

Employers, health plans or networks must allow for patients' choice of physician and system of health care delivery.

Any sponsor (e.g., employer, regional alliance, insurance pool) who offers a restrictive health benefit plan must make available a variety of types of plans including HMO's, traditional insurance plans, or a benefit payment schedule, establishing up front a set amount that will be paid for each covered service.

At the time of enrollment in a plan that restricts access, and at least one year thereafter, each patient shall be offered the opportunity to pay an additional premium for a "point of service" plan that will entitle him or her to reimbursement for services obtained outside the network or outside any restrictive referral rules. "Out-of-network" or "point of service" plans include plans that may reimburse for any non-covered service whether it is provided inside or outside the patient's plan.

The additional premium for point of service coverage must reflect the actuarial value of such coverage. A point of service plan may require a reasonable copayment.

Individuals' and employers' rights to pay for services outside of the health plan or benefit package should be expressly preserved.

In order for consumers to make fully informed decisions it is imperative that all plans disclose to prospective enrollees clear and accurate information, in a standardized format, on coverage exclusions; prior authorization or other review requirements that might result in nonpayment for a given procedure or service; financial arrangements that reward hospitals, physicians and other providers for delivering less care, or that limit referrals to other providers; the enrollees' own liability for coinsurance or for payments for out-of-plan services; the plan's administrative expenditures as a percentage of total premiums, and enrollee satisfaction statistics.

Miscellaneous

A state agency must periodically review and revise, if necessary, established standards.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

285.009 - Prohibition of Nondisclosure Clauses

Date: 11/4/2001

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the prohibition of nondisclosure clauses in physician contracts.
285.010 - Removal of Physicians from Insurance Plans "Without Cause"

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation to forbid insurance companies to remove physicians from their plans "without cause."

Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014

285.011 - Review Policies of Insurance Companies

Date: 10/31/1992

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation or regulation to impose the following minimum requirements upon insurance companies and managed care groups:

(1) That adequate authorizing or certifying personnel be available so that an immediate response to the physicians' offices can be obtained.

(2) If there is any question of a disagreement between the physician's office and the certifying personnel that a physician advisor be easily available to help resolve the conflict.

(3) In no instance should a letter written by a physician be required to obtain procedure or admission authorization when the treating physician feels that the care requested is emergent or semi-emergent in nature and that the delay would adversely affect the quality of patient care.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

285.012 - Support of Northern Virginia Societies

Date: 11/4/2002

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the goals of the initiatives of the Northern Virginia medical societies as they relate to participation in the national effort to change the policies of managed care companies.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

285.013 - The Credentialing Of Physicians by Insurance Companies And Other Third Parties And Competition In The Health Care Market Place

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia shall work with the AMA and appropriate governmental agencies to pass laws that would outlaw the exclusion of physicians from access to the health care market place on the sole basis of lack of board certification or particular hospital affiliation.

Reaffirmed 11/5/2006
Reaffirmed 10/16/2016
285.015 - Use of Employees; Transmit Orders

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes any amendment to the Code of Virginia that would prohibit a physician from using his employees to transmit orders for hospitalized patients.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

290.000  Medicaid

290.001 - 60 Day Recertification of Medicaid Patients

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes the 60 day mandatory visitation and recertification of Medicaid patients in nursing homes and believes that visits should be based on need as determined by the attending physician.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

290.002 - Communications

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia shall assist in mechanisms of communication and instruction between the Virginia Department of Medical Assistance Services and participating physicians, to promote efficient provision of and uniform standards for the delivery of quality and cost effective medical care.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

290.003 - Funding for Medicaid

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia supports full state and federal funding of the Medicaid program and its potential for improving the health of Virginia's most vulnerable populations.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

290.004 - Increased Reimbursement

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia requests the adjustment of physician reimbursement rates by the Department of Medical Assistance for Medicaid services to levels that provide reasonable compensation to physicians for their overhead costs and their professional time.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014
290.005 - Increased Reimbursement; Underserved Areas

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia advocates increased Medicaid reimbursement levels which often are a major part of practice in an underserved area, and stress physician participation in the program.

Reaffirmed 11/4/2001
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

290.006 - Medicaid Cuts

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes reductions in the State’s Medicaid budget unless it is clear that such reductions will not adversely affect quality of care for the poor.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

290.008 - Expand Medicaid under the ACA

Date: 11/2/2012

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation to fully expand Medicaid under the limits set by the ACA with two conditions: 1) that any expansion be fiscally responsible; and 2) that such expansion reimburse physicians for provision of professional services to Medicaid patients at a rate that assures access to care for Medicaid patients.

300.000 Medical Education: Continuing

300.001 - Continuing Medical Education

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia: a) recognizes that Continuing Medical Education (CME) is important to patient care and should emphasize the importance of physicians’ self-directed learning, and b) supports CME as a requirement for relicensure, contingent upon regulations being established by the Board of Medicine; and c) believes that CME and Virginia medical school curriculum should not be mandated in the Code of Virginia.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

300.002 - Maintenance of Certification

Date: 10/26/2014

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the following American Medical Association policies:

H-275.950 Board Certification
Our AMA (1) reaffirms its opposition to the use of board certification as a requirement for licensure or reimbursement; (2) seeks an amendment to the new Medicaid rules that would delete the use of board certification as a requirement for reimbursement and would address the exclusion of internal medicine,
emergency medicine, or other specialties; and (3) opposes mandatory MOC as a condition of medical licensure, and encourage physicians to strive constantly to improve their care of patients by the means they find most effective.

(Res. 143, A-92; Reaffirmed by Res. 103, A-98; Reaffirmation A-00; Reaffirmed: CME Rep. 16, A-09; Appended: CME Rep. 6, A-14)

H-275.924 Maintenance of Certification
AMA Principles on Maintenance of Certification (MOC):
1. Changes in specialty-board certification requirements for MOC programs should be longitudinally stable in structure, although flexible in content.
2. Implementation of changes in MOC must be reasonable and take into consideration the time needed to develop the proper MOC structures as well as to educate physician diplomates about the requirements for participation.
3. Any changes to the MOC process for a given medical specialty board should occur no more frequently than the intervals used by each board for MOC.
4. Any changes in the MOC process should not result in significantly increased cost or burden to physician participants (such as systems that mandate continuous documentation or require annual milestones).
5. MOC requirements should not reduce the capacity of the overall physician workforce. It is important to retain a structure of MOC programs that permit physicians to complete modules with temporal flexibility, compatible with their practice responsibilities.
6. Patient satisfaction programs such as The Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS) patient survey would not be appropriate nor effective survey tools to assess physician competence in many specialties.
7. Careful consideration should be given to the importance of retaining flexibility in pathways for MOC for physicians with careers that combine clinical patient care with significant leadership, administrative, research, and teaching responsibilities.
8. Legal ramifications must be examined, and conflicts resolved, prior to data collection and/or displaying any information collected in the process of MOC. Specifically, careful consideration must be given to the types and format of physician-specific data to be publicly released in conjunction with MOC participation.
9. The AMA affirms the current language regarding continuing medical education (CME): "By 2011, each Member Board will document that diplomates are meeting the CME and Self-Assessment requirements for MOC Part 2. The content of CME and self-assessment programs receiving credit for MOC will be relevant to advances within the diplomate’s scope of practice, and free of commercial bias and direct support from pharmaceutical and device industries. Each diplomate will be required to complete CME credits (AMA Physician’s Recognition Award (PRA) Category 1, American Academy of Family Physicians Prescribed, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, and or American Osteopathic Association Category 1A)."
10. MOC is an essential but not sufficient component to promote patient-care safety and quality. Health care is a team effort and changes to MOC should not create an unrealistic expectation that failures in patient safety are primarily failures of individual physicians.


H-275.954 Maintenance of Certification and Osteopathic Continuous Certification
Our AMA will:
30. Call for the immediate end of any mandatory, secured recertifying examination by the ABMS or other certifying organizations as part of the recertification process for all those specialties that still require a secure, high-stakes recertification examination.
31. Support a recertification process based on high quality, appropriate Continuing Medical Education (CME) material directed by the AMA recognized specialty societies covering the physician's
practice area, in cooperation with other willing stakeholders, that would be completed on a regular basis as determined by the individual medical specialty, to ensure lifelong learning.

32. Continue to work with the ABMS to encourage the development by and the sharing between specialty boards of alternative ways to assess medical knowledge other than by a secure high stakes exam.

33. Continue to support the requirement of CME and ongoing, quality assessments of physicians, where such CME is proven to be cost-effective and shown by evidence to improve quality of care for patients.

Further, MSV opposes maintenance of certification as a mandated requirement for licensure, credentialing, or reimbursement.

300.004 - Maintenance of Certification Completely Voluntary

Date: 10/25/2015

The Medical Society of Virginia (MSV) supports the updated 2014 AMA MOC Principles, including:

- MOC should be based on evidence and designed to identify performance gaps and unmet needs, providing direction and guidance for improvement in physician performance and delivery of care.
- The MOC process should be evaluated periodically to measure physician satisfaction, knowledge uptake, and intent to maintain or change practice.
- MOC should be used as a tool for continuous improvement.
- The MOC program should not be a mandated requirement for licensure, credentialing, payment, network participation or employment.
- Actively practicing physicians should be well-represented on specialty boards developing MOC.
- MOC activities and measurement should be relevant to clinical practice.
- The MOC process should not be cost-prohibitive or present barriers to patient care.

300.005 – Prohibit the Use of MOC as a Means to Limit Physicians’ Scope of Practice

Date: 5/6/2017

The Medical Society of Virginia (MSV) supports the following updated 2016 AMA Principles on Maintenance of Certification (MOC):

**H-275.924 Maintenance of Certification**

**AMA Principles on Maintenance of Certification (MOC)**

1. Changes in specialty-board certification requirements for MOC programs should be longitudinally stable in structure, although flexible in content.
2. Implementation of changes in MOC must be reasonable and take into consideration the time needed to develop the proper MOC structures as well as to educate physician diplomates about the requirements for participation.
3. Any changes to the MOC process for a given medical specialty board should occur no more frequently than the intervals used by that specialty board for MOC.

4. Any changes in the MOC process should not result in significantly increased cost or burden to physician participants (such as systems that mandate continuous documentation or require annual milestones).

5. MOC requirements should not reduce the capacity of the overall physician workforce. It is important to retain a structure of MOC programs that permits physicians to complete modules with temporal flexibility, compatible with their practice responsibilities.

6. Patient satisfaction programs such as The Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS) patient survey are neither appropriate nor effective survey tools to assess physician competence in many specialties.

7. Careful consideration should be given to the importance of retaining flexibility in pathways for MOC for physicians with careers that combine clinical patient care with significant leadership, administrative, research and teaching responsibilities.

8. Legal ramifications must be examined, and conflicts resolved, prior to data collection and/or displaying any information collected in the process of MOC. Specifically, careful consideration must be given to the types and format of physician-specific data to be publicly released in conjunction with MOC participation.

9. Our AMA affirms the current language regarding continuing medical education (CME): "Each Member Board will document that diplomates are meeting the CME and Self-Assessment requirements for MOC Part II. The content of CME and self-assessment programs receiving credit for MOC will be relevant to advances within the diplomate's scope of practice, and free of commercial bias and direct support from pharmaceutical and device industries. Each diplomate will be required to complete CME credits (AMA PRA Category 1 CreditTM, American Academy of Family Physicians Prescribed, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, and/or American Osteopathic Association Category 1A)."

10. In relation to MOC Part II, our AMA continues to support and promote the AMA Physician's Recognition Award (PRA) Credit system as one of the three major credit systems that comprise the foundation for continuing medical education in the U.S., including the Performance Improvement CME (PICME) format; and continues to develop relationships and agreements that may lead to standards accepted by all U.S. licensing boards, specialty boards, hospital credentialing bodies and other entities requiring evidence of physician CME.

11. MOC is but one component to promote patient safety and quality. Health care is a team effort, and changes to MOC should not create an unrealistic expectation that lapses in patient safety are primarily failures of individual physicians.

12. MOC should be based on evidence and designed to identify performance gaps and unmet needs, providing direction and guidance for improvement in physician performance and delivery of care.

13. The MOC process should be evaluated periodically to measure physician satisfaction, knowledge uptake and intent to maintain or change practice.

14. MOC should be used as a tool for continuous improvement.

15. The MOC program should not be a mandated requirement for licensure, credentialing, recredentialing, privileging, reimbursement, network participation, employment, or insurance panel participation.

16. Actively practicing physicians should be well-represented on specialty boards developing MOC.

17. Our AMA will include early career physicians when nominating individuals to the Boards of Directors for ABMS member boards.

18. MOC activities and measurement should be relevant to clinical practice.

19. The MOC process should not be cost prohibitive or present barriers to patient care.

20. Any assessment should be used to guide physicians' self-directed study.

21. Specific content-based feedback after any assessment tests should be provided to physicians in a timely manner.

22. There should be multiple options for how an assessment could be structured to accommodate different learning styles.
23. Physicians with lifetime board certification should not be required to seek recertification.
24. No qualifiers or restrictions should be placed on diplomates with lifetime board certification recognized by the ABMS related to their participation in MOC.
25. Members of our House of Delegates are encouraged to increase their awareness of and participation in the proposed changes to physician self-regulation through their specialty organizations and other professional membership groups.


D-275.954Maintenance of Certification and Osteopathic Continuous Certification

Our AMA will:
1. Continue to monitor the evolution of Maintenance of Certification (MOC) and Osteopathic Continuous Certification (OCC), continue its active engagement in discussions regarding their implementation, encourage specialty boards to investigate and/or establish alternative approaches for MOC, and prepare a yearly report to the House of Delegates regarding the MOC and OCC process.
2. Continue to review, through its Council on Medical Education, published literature and emerging data as part of the Council's ongoing efforts to critically review MOC and OCC issues.
3. Continue to monitor the progress by the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) and its member boards on implementation of MOC, and encourage the ABMS to report its research findings on the issues surrounding certification and MOC on a periodic basis.
4. Encourage the ABMS and its member boards to continue to explore other ways to measure the ability of physicians to access and apply knowledge to care for patients, and to continue to examine the evidence supporting the value of specialty board certification and MOC.
5. Work with the ABMS to streamline and improve the Cognitive Expertise (Part III) component of MOC, including the exploration of alternative formats, in ways that effectively evaluate acquisition of new knowledge while reducing or eliminating the burden of a high-stakes examination.
6. Work with interested parties to ensure that MOC uses more than one pathway to assess accurately the competence of practicing physicians, to monitor for exam relevance and to ensure that MOC does not lead to unintended economic hardship such as hospital de-credentialing of practicing physicians.
7. Recommend that the ABMS not introduce additional assessment modalities that have not been validated to show improvement in physician performance and/or patient safety.
8. Work with the ABMS to eliminate practice performance assessment modules, as currently written, from MOC requirements.
9. Encourage the ABMS to ensure that all ABMS member boards provide full transparency related to the costs of preparing, administering, scoring and reporting MOC and certifying examinations.
10. Encourage the ABMS to ensure that MOC and certifying examinations do not result in substantial financial gain to ABMS member boards, and advocate that the ABMS develop fiduciary standards for its member boards that are consistent with this principle.
11. Work with the ABMS to lessen the burden of MOC on physicians with multiple board certifications, particularly to ensure that MOC is specifically relevant to the physician's current practice.
12. Work with key stakeholders to (a) support ongoing ABMS member board efforts to allow multiple and diverse physician educational and quality improvement activities to qualify for MOC; (b) support ABMS member board activities in facilitating the use of MOC quality improvement activities to count for other accountability requirements or programs, such as pay for quality/performance or PQRS reimbursement; (c) encourage ABMS member boards to enhance the consistency of quality improvement programs across all boards; and (d) work with specialty organizations...
societies and ABMS member boards to develop tools and services that help physicians meet MOC requirements.

13. Work with the ABMS and its member boards to collect data on why physicians choose to maintain or discontinue their board certification.

14. Work with the ABMS to study whether MOC is an important factor in a physician's decision to retire and to determine its impact on the US physician workforce.

15. Encourage the ABMS to use data from MOC to track whether physicians are maintaining certification and share this data with the AMA.

16. Encourage AMA members to be proactive in shaping MOC and OCC by seeking leadership positions on the ABMS member boards, American Osteopathic Association (AOA) specialty certifying boards, and MOC Committees.

17. Continue to monitor the actions of professional societies regarding recommendations for modification of MOC.

18. Encourage medical specialty societies' leadership to work with the ABMS, and its member boards, to identify those specialty organizations that have developed an appropriate and relevant MOC process for its members.

19. Continue to work with the ABMS to ensure that physicians are clearly informed of the MOC requirements for their specific board and the timelines for accomplishing those requirements.

20. Encourage the ABMS and its member boards to develop a system to actively alert physicians of the due dates of the multi-stage requirements of continuous professional development and performance in practice, thereby assisting them with maintaining their board certification.

21. Recommend to the ABMS that all physician members of those boards governing the MOC process be required to participate in MOC.

22. Continue to participate in the National Alliance for Physician Competence forums.

23. Encourage the PCPI Foundation, the ABMS, and the Council of Medical Specialty Societies to work together toward utilizing Consortium performance measures in Part IV of MOC.

24. Continue to assist physicians in practice performance improvement.

25. Encourage all specialty societies to grant certified CME credit for activities that they offer to fulfill requirements of their respective specialty board's MOC and associated processes.

26. Support the American College of Physicians as well as other professional societies in their efforts to work with the American Board of Internal Medicine (ABIM) to improve the MOC program.

27. Oppose those maintenance of certification programs administered by the specialty boards of the ABMS, or of any other similar physician certifying organization, which do not appropriately adhere to the principles codified as AMA Policy on Maintenance of Certification.

28. Examine the activities that medical specialty organizations have underway to review alternative pathways for board recertification; and determine if there is a need to establish criteria and construct a tool to evaluate if alternative methods for board recertification are equivalent to established pathways.

29. Ask the ABMS to encourage its member boards to review their maintenance of certification policies regarding the requirements for maintaining underlying primary or initial specialty board certification in addition to subspecialty board certification, if they have not yet done so, to allow physicians the option to focus on maintenance of certification activities relevant to their practice.

30. Call for the immediate end of any mandatory, secured recertifying examination by the ABMS or other certifying organizations as part of the recertification process for all those specialties that still require a secure, high-stakes recertification examination.

31. Support a recertification process based on high quality, appropriate Continuing Medical Education (CME) material directed by the AMA recognized specialty societies covering the physician's practice area, in cooperation with other willing stakeholders, that would be completed on a regular basis as determined by the individual medical specialty, to ensure lifelong learning.

32. Continue to work with the ABMS to encourage the development by and the sharing between specialty boards of alternative ways to assess medical knowledge other than by a secure high stakes exam.
33. Continue to support the requirement of CME and ongoing, quality assessments of physicians, where such CME is proven to be cost-effective and shown by evidence to improve quality of care for patients.

34. Through legislative, regulatory, or collaborative efforts, will work with interested state medical societies and other interested parties by creating model state legislation and model medical staff bylaws while advocating that Maintenance of Certification not be a requirement for: (a) medical staff membership, privileging, credentialing, or recredentialing; (b) insurance panel participation; or (c) state medical licensure.

35. Increase its efforts to work with the insurance industry to ensure that maintenance of certification does not become a requirement for insurance panel participation.


300.006 - Opioid Prescribing Education

Date: 10/25/2015

The Medical Society of Virginia (MSV) continues to support efforts to have educational programs on opioid prescribing, the Prescription Monitoring Program (PMP) and on addiction available, easily accessible and affordable for prescribers.

MSV acknowledges that Virginia’s prescriber licensing bodies (the Virginia Board of Medicine, the Virginia Board of Nursing, and the Virginia Board of Dentistry) may consider requiring specific topic-area continuing education of licensees regarding opioid prescribing and/or addiction education. The development of any such requirements should be undertaken in collaboration with public health experts and the relevant professional and specialty organizations, should include provisions for measuring the effect of implementing the requirements as compared to the desired outcome, and should incorporate an appropriate sunset clause. Further, the licensing bodies should be mindful of current specialty training requirements that may already address the concern.

In response to any such requirements, the MSV should strive to make the prescribed programming easily accessible and affordable for its members.

305.000  Medical Education: Financing and Support

305.001 - Collection of Overdue Debts

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia supports efforts to collect overdue debts from the present medical student loan programs in order to help preserve provision for future loan funds to medical students.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

305.002 - EMS/GME Funding

Date: 11/5/1994
The MSV urges Congress to retain funding for emergency medicine residency programs at current levels thereby ensuring “a safety net” capable of delivering emergency care and providing the necessary back-up to managed care plans and physicians’ offices.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

305.003 - Medical Education Funding and Support of Academic Centers

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia believes quality academic medical centers are essential for well-trained health care professionals and medical research necessary for quality health care. Continuation of Virginia’s teaching centers must be a collaborative effort and it is the Commonwealth of Virginia’s responsibility to generate more appropriate state funding to support graduate and undergraduate medical education.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

305.004 - New Programs of Assistance

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia supports new programs which would provide scholarship assistance for Virginia medical students.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

305.005 - State Loan Program

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes legislative efforts to reduce or eliminate medical student loans currently available in Virginia.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

305.006 - State Support of Medical Education

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV will pursue its advocacy goals for medical education in the context of the overall future needs of all the citizens of the Commonwealth.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

305.007 - Medical Student Debt and Loan Interest Rates

Date: 5/31/2014
The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislative efforts to reduce medical student debt and loan interest rates.

305.008 - Graduate Medical Education Funding and Residency Slots

Date: 5/31/2014

The Medical Society of Virginia (MSV) encourages and advocates for private and alternative sources of funding for Virginia-specific graduate medical education (GME) opportunities.

MSV will support when appropriate and encourage the American Medical Association to advocate for additional sources of funding direct and indirect costs of GME; to explore funding for additional residency slots; and to encourage state and specialty societies to seek private and alternative sources of funding for state-specific GME opportunities.

Further, the MSV supports that revenue generated through the Affordable Care Act’s excise tax on indoor tanning services, the annual fee on health insurance providers, and the annual fee on branded prescription pharmaceutical manufacturers and importers be directed toward increasing GME funding with the intention of expanding the number of available residency positions and maintaining the positions offered now.

305.009 - Increasing Funding for Residency Training

Date: 10/25/2015

The Medical Society of Virginia (MSV) will seek means to increase state public and/or private sector funding allocated to medical residency in areas of physician shortage.

305.010 - House Staff Depression

Date: 10/25/2015

The Medical Society of Virginia (MSV) supports the availability of appropriate mental health services for medical students, residents and physicians.

305.011 - Burnout and Suicide Prevention

Date: 10/16/2016

The Medical Society of Virginia supports efforts to address the mental health of medical students, residents, and physicians.

The Medical Society of Virginia will work cooperatively with state and national stakeholders to develop and promote strategies for comprehensive education, screening and treatment of mental health issues including burnout and suicide prevention.

305.012 - Evaluating the Effectiveness of the Step 2 Clinical Skills Exam

Date: 10/16/2016

The Medical Society of Virginia will establish a workgroup to evaluate the USMLE Step 2 Clinical Skills Exam, including relative value, cost and accessibility. The workgroup shall be composed of students from the Medical Student Section, physician members including International Medical Graduates, medical school faculty, and residency directors.
The Medical Society of Virginia will work with the AMA to address issues of cost and accessibility of the USMLE Step 2 Clinical Skills Exam.

315.000 Medical Records

315.001 - Release Form Information

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia recommends that medical records release forms should include the patient's name, address, date of birth, phone numbers, and a statement that there may be a charge from the sending physician for reproduction and mailing of the chart.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

320.000 Medical Review

320.001 - Medical Necessity/Practice of Medicine

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia shall use its best efforts by all means possible, including legislation if necessary, to require persons who render opinions about the medical necessity of physicians' care of patients or who have authority to issue preauthorization denials of treatment be licensed to practice medicine in the Commonwealth of Virginia and Board certified in the appropriate specialty when applicable.

Reaffirmed 11/4/2001
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

320.002 - Review Agents/Hold Harmless

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia shall pursue legislation which would require entities that conduct utilization review to hold harmless physicians who, following pursuit of available appeals procedures, adhere to an entity's final determination denying coverage of a recommended treatment on the basis that it is medically unnecessary or inappropriate.

Reaffirmed 11/4/2001
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

330.000 Medicare

330.001 - Extrapolation of Medicare Chart Audits and Post-Audit Refunds

Date: 10/31/1992

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation that limits or prevents extrapolation of denied claims to physicians on all Medicare payments for refunds.
The Medical Society of Virginia urges the American Medical Association to request Federal legislation that prevents the required payment of refunds by physicians before their right of appeal process is completed.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

330.002 - Hospital Staff Privileges

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes any legislation, on both the state and federal levels, which attempts to mandate a connection between participation and payment programs and staff privileges.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

330.003 - Medicare Carrier Advisory Committee

Date: 10/31/1992

The Medical Society of Virginia requests that the American Medical Association solicit the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services to mandate that each state carrier's professional advisory committee be made up of only physician representatives of the various affected specialties in each state that are approved by their respective state specialty societies as being designated as their representative.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

330.004 - Medicare Fees

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes Medicare registration fees, charges for sending paper claims, and levies imposed on physicians whose practice is audited.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

330.005 - Medicare Prescription Drug Benefits

Date: 11/4/2000

The Medical Society of Virginia supports prescription drug coverage for Medicare recipients in the context of overall Medicare reform.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

330.006 - Medicare Private Contracting

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV opposes the requirement that doctors who privately contract with Medicare patients must opt not to bill Medicare for treating Medicare patients for a two-year period.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007
330.007 - Medicare Reimbursement for Medication

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes inadequate Medicare reimbursement for physician purchased medications.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

330.008 - Medicare Surety Bonds

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes the implementation of any requirement by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services that would require physicians to purchase surety bonds.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

330.009 - RBRVS for Medicaid and FAMIS Pediatric Reimbursement in Virginia

Date: 11/4/2001

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation that will establish 100% Resource Based Relative Value Scale as the basis for reimbursement for Medicaid and FAMIS in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Reaffirmed: 10/30/2011

345.000 Mental Health

345.001 - Changes in Commitment Law; Funding

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the civil commitment of a patient to a private or a public hospital for psychiatric care with a view to the highest quality medical care and adequate funding be provided for the process established by law.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

345.003 - Funding; Public Mental Health Facilities

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia supports public and private efforts to enhance the funding of public mental health treatment facilities and opposes any reduction in funding.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

345.004 - Mental Health Parity

Date: 10/31/1998
The MSV supports the concept of insurance coverage parity for mental disorders and physical illness.

The Medical Society of Virginia, recognizing the importance of mental health treatment and adequate insurance coverage for the treatment of mental illnesses, supports legislation to require parity insurance and HMO coverage for the treatment of mental illnesses.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

**345.005 - Nondiscriminatory Reimbursement**

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia endorses a nondiscriminatory reimbursement policy in order to preserve adequate psychiatric care in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

**345.006 - Non-Psychiatrist Prescribing Medicines**

Date: 11/5/1994

The MSV opposes the independent prescribing of medications by non-physician psychologists.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

**345.007 - Psychiatrists: State Hospital and Clinics**

Date: 11/5/1994

The MSV supports the Virginia Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services to ensure that physicians trained in psychiatry be available to its hospitals and clinics.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

**345.009 - Training/Child Psychiatry**

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia encourages and supports the expansion and training of child psychiatry at all medical schools in Virginia and recognizes this area of medical specialty as a critically unmet need.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

**360.000 Nurses and Nursing**

**360.001 - MSV Support of Resolving Nursing Shortage**

Date: 11/6/2005
The Medical Society of Virginia recognizes and supports where possible the efforts of the various groups working to resolve the nursing shortage.

Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

360.002 - Nursing Education

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the nursing profession and its educational program, including the three-year schools.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

365.000  Occupational Health

365.001 - Workers’ Compensation: Collection of Attorneys’ Fees

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia supports changes in Virginia’s Workers’ Compensation Statute Section 65.2-714 to ensure that physicians receive all compensation due them for services rendered.

The Medical Society of Virginia supports changes in Virginia’s Workers’ Compensation Statute so that any fee to attorneys retained by the employee be paid by either the employee, and in the case the appeal is unsuccessful by the employer, or by the state Workers’ Compensation Fund.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

365.002 - Peer Review of Disputed Physician Fees

Date: 10/31/1992

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that the payer of a workers’ compensation claim should submit disputed charges to a peer review committee for determination of the reasonableness of the challenged fee.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

375.000  Peer Review

375.001 - Peer Review of Utilization

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia endorses local peer review of both inpatient and outpatient medical utilization.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

375.002 - Peer Review
Date: 10/16/2016

It is the policy of the Medical Society of Virginia that in absence of a court order the proceedings, minutes, records and reports of the committees set forth in Virginia Code Section 8.01-581.17.B are privileged and confidential and shall only be disclosed as necessary for such committees to carry out official duties or as required by state or federal law.

The Medical Society of Virginia will pursue legislation to amend Virginia Code Section 8.01-581.17 consistent with MSV policy.

380.000 Physician Fees

380.001 - Administrative Fees in Medical Offices

Date: 11/7/2004

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the concept that in lieu of other contractual arrangements with insurance plans, a charge to the patient of an administrative fee for services rendered in the physician's office by the physician or his/ her administrative staff for administrative time, not associated with the office visit, is both reasonable and ethical.

Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

380.002 - Equal Reimbursement for Urban and Rural Areas

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia advocates equal reimbursement for health care services in rural and urban areas with support for more rapid recovery of costs for equipment and technology in rural areas where volume use is not as great.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

380.003 - Fee Guidelines

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia recommends use of the following fee guidelines:

1. The fee charged for each service should be based upon the cost of providing that service by the most efficient high-quality method that is available plus a reasonable compensation for the professional skill and time that is required.

2. In applying usual, customary and reasonable guidelines, such factors as providing emergency service at night and on weekends, taking care of indigent patients, and sponsoring educational programs must be considered, but these factors should not be used as an excuse for excessive charges.

3. These sample principles should be applied to all other diagnostic procedures, such as blood counts, electrocardiograms, electroencephalograms, and x-rays. Physicians should not make a profit from selling another physician's opinion.

4. When physicians draw blood and send it out to a commercial laboratory for testing, they should be paid a reasonable fee to cover the costs of drawing the blood, but they should not be paid or expect a fee for
interpreting the results of these tests, as this interpretation has already been paid for when the patient pays for the office visit.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

380.004 - Financial Incentives/Under or Overutilization

Date: 11/11/1989

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the concept of appropriate utilization i.e., that any medical professional reimbursement system that rewards underutilization or overutilization with greater profits is contrary in the Commonwealth, to the best interests of patients and detrimental to the professional ethical behavior of physicians.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

380.005 - Reimbursement of Rural Practitioners

Date: 10/31/1992

The Medical Society of Virginia endorses the placement of family physicians on the advisory panels of all third party payers which are active in rural areas.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

380.006 - Responsible Party

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia believes the patient, his or her family (in the case of a minor), or legal guardian should be responsible for the cost of physician services.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

385.000 Physician Payment

385.001 - Payment for Electronic Services

Date: 11/4/2000

The Medical Society of Virginia adopts the following as adapted from AMA Policy H-390-859 - Reimbursement for Telephonic and Electronic Communications:

Physicians should uniformly be compensated for their professional services, at a fair fee of their choosing, for established patients with whom the physician has had previous face-to-face professional contact, whether the current consultation service is rendered by telephone, fax, electronic mail, or other form of communication.

MSV shall press CMS and other payers for separate recognition of supplemental communication work, as a service not covered by Medicare and therefore chargeable as a patient convenience service outside the benefit package of Medicare.
Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

385.002 - Physician Reimbursement for Telephone Consultations

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the use of CPT codes for telephone consultations and encourages physicians to use such CPT codes.

The Medical Society of Virginia encourages third party payers to reimburse for these codes as described in the current editions of Current Procedural Coding.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

385.003 - Worker’s Compensation Reimbursement

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation to require third party administrators handling worker's compensation to reimburse physicians within 60 days of submitting a claim; to state that legal action on the part of the patient or employer shall have no effect on provider payment; and to abolish deductions from physician reimbursement to pay for attorney fees in covering patient injuries sustained during employment and possibly covered by workers compensation.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

390.000 - Physician Payment: Medicare

390.002 - Increased Reimbursement; Underserved Areas

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia advocates increased Medicare reimbursement levels which often are a major part of practice in an underserved area, and stress physician participation in the program.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

390.003 - Payment for Physician Surgical Assistants

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV opposes Medicare reduction of surgeons’ reimbursement when physician surgical assistants are used for complex surgical procedures.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

390.004 - Physician/Patient Communications

Date: 10/30/1999

The Medical Society of Virginia supports communication between a patient and his/her physician on how compensation arrangements and other policies relevant to patient care may impact the quality of his/her care.
**405.000 Physicians**

### 405.001 - Dissemination of Inflammatory Information

**Date:** 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation to amend the Code of Virginia to make it a criminal offense to endanger physicians and other health care providers by disseminating inflammatory information to advance a political agenda.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014

### 405.002 - Physician Profiling

**Date:** 10/30/1999

In accordance with AMA Policy H-406.994, the Medical Society of Virginia advocates that managed care organizations, third party payers, government entities, and others that develop physician profiles adhere to the following principles:

1) The active involvement of physician organizations and practicing physicians in all aspects of physician profiling shall be essential.

2) The methods for collecting and analyzing data and developing physician profiles shall be disclosed to relevant physician organizations and physicians under review.

3) Valid data collection and profiling methodologies, including establishment of a statistically significant sample size, shall be developed.

4) The limitations of the data sources used to develop physician profiles shall be clearly identified and acknowledged.

5) Physician profiles shall be based on valid, accurate, and objective data and used primarily for educational purposes.

6) To the greatest extent possible, physician profiling initiatives shall use standards-based norms derived from widely accepted, physician-developed practice parameters.

7) Physician profiles and any other information that have been compiled related to physician performance shall be shared with physicians under review.

8) Comparisons among physician profiles shall adjust for patient case-mix, control for physician specialty, and distinguish between the ordering or referring physician and the physician providing the service or procedure.

9) Effective safeguards to protect against the unauthorized use or disclosure of physician profiles shall be developed.


Additionally, the MSV encourages the inclusion of these Principles into any laws, regulations, or policies governing the use or creation of physician profiles.

Reaffirmed 10/25/2009

### 405.003 - Physician-specific information

**Date:** 10/31/1998
The Medical Society of Virginia will work with the Board of Medicine to ensure that only appropriate, accurate and necessary physician-specific information, that achieves reasonable and economical disclosure, is available to the public.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

410.000 Practice Parameters

410.001 Coverage Limitations on Physician Scope of Practice

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes insurance carriers’ coverage determinations which serve to limit the scope of a physician’s practice.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

415.000 Preferred Provider Arrangements

415.001 Any Willing Provider

Date: 11/5/1994

The MSV reaffirms its support of “any willing provider” provisions.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

420.000 Pregnancy

420.001 Maternity Care Program

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the maternity care programs administered through regional local health departments as appropriate means of protecting women’s and children’s health.

The Medical Society of Virginia seeks support from state and national legislators to continue financial and staffing support of maternity care programs in regional and local health departments, and supports development of comprehensive maternity care and information programs, based on public and private health provider cooperation where programs are not in existence.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

420.002 Post-Delivery Care for Mothers and Newborns

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia believes: a) any insurer that offers maternity benefits shall provide coverage
that is consistent with protocols and guidelines developed by national pediatric, obstetric, and nursing professional organizations for these services. b) any decision to shorten the length of inpatient stay to less than that provided under subsection (a) shall be made by the attending physician after conferring with the mother; c) if a mother and newborn are discharged pursuant to subsection (b) prior to the inpatient length of stay provided under subsection (a), coverage shall be provided for a follow-up visit within 48 hours of discharge.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed as amended 10/25/2015

420.003 - Opposition to Criminalization of Reproductive Decision Making

Date: 11/2/2012

The Medical Society of Virginia will oppose any legislation or ballot measures that could criminalize in vitro fertilization, contraception, or the management of ectopic and molar pregnancies.

435.000 Professional Liability

435.001 - Availability of Insurance

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia shall monitor the availability of malpractice coverage in the Commonwealth and keep the Legislature informed.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed as amended 10/25/2015

435.002 - Confidentiality in Legal Proceedings

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation which will amend the Code of Virginia to strengthen features relating to confidentiality in the areas of discovery, admission as evidence, forced testimony, and protection only for suits concerning the pending subject.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014

435.003 - Expand Immunity Laws Covering Voluntary Physician Services

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that appropriate state immunity statutes covering physician services should be expanded to include physicians working in emergency medical service settings, hospitals, or other settings during disaster conditions.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

435.005 - Malpractice Coverage for Operational Medical Directors

Date: 11/5/1994
MSV believes that the delegation and supervision of clinical activities performed by qualified emergency medical technicians certified by the Commonwealth of Virginia should be included among the ordinary duties of physicians covered in full by medical liability insurance policies, unless these activities are already legislatively exempted from such liability.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

**435.006 - Malpractice Review Panels**

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia supports enactment of meaningful tort reform by amending the statute relating to medical malpractice review panels to require the full participation by all parties after the panel has been requested.

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the idea that the panel decision alone, and not evidence of testimony and deliberations of the panel, should be admissible at the trial of the negligence action.

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the establishment of a formal, post-panel settlement conference, with adverse financial consequences for the party not following the settlement conference recommendations and later receiving an adverse verdict at trial.

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation to restore the notice of claim language to the Code of Virginia relating to proceedings and panels.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

**435.007 - Malpractice Review Panels-participation**

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia supports a legislative initiative requiring that when a malpractice review panel is convened, participation by both plaintiff and defendant be required, and during the proceedings of a malpractice review panel, full disclosure of all known facts be required by plaintiff and defendant.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

**435.008 - Medical Malpractice Cap on Damages**

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia supports maintaining the cap on professional medical liability awards at a level consistent with the Code of the Commonwealth § 8.01-581.15 and seeks legislation that would implement a cost effective alternative to address cases involving substantial medical expenses.

Furthermore, MSV opposes efforts to extend the cap or attempts to increase, stack, or repeal the cap, including any attempt to add on an inflation factor.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011
435.009 - Physician-Patient Privilege

Date: 11/5/1994

The MSV supports legislation which would amend the Code of Virginia to allow defense attorneys the same access to treating physicians, witnesses, and medical records as afforded to the plaintiffs' attorneys.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

435.010 - Premium Discounts as Incentive for Panel Service

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia encourages malpractice companies to provide appropriate premium discounts to physicians who participate in medical malpractice review panels.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

435.011 - Standard of Care

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes the admission into evidence of practice parameters. It also opposes a national standard of care as Virginia's standard of care.

Furthermore, the Medical Society should seek improvements to Virginia's definition of an expert witness.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

435.012 - Statute of Limitations

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia supports a two-year statute of limitations without a discovery rule for medical malpractice.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

435.013 - Strengthen Good Samaritan Laws

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation requiring payment of court and attorney fees to a defendant who is named in a lawsuit and subsequently eliminated from the suit by application of the Virginia Good Samaritan Act.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

435.014 - Teaching of Basics of Dispute Resolution

Date: 11/4/1995
The Medical Society of Virginia believes mediation and arbitration are sound alternatives to settling disputes as they are more efficient, fairer and less costly than litigation. Physicians should become knowledgeable about mediation and arbitration procedures and when feasible request they be used as the initial means of resolving tort claims or other health care conflicts. The MSV advocates that the teaching of conflict resolution be included the medical school curriculum where appropriate, and supports legislation which would cause mediation and arbitration procedures to be the initial mechanism for handling such disputes.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

435.015 - Tort Reform

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that malpractice issues should be resolved in an efficient, fair and less costly manner. Therefore the Medical Society supports:

1. Alternative dispute resolution proposals such as capped binding arbitration that are designed to divert claims from the civil justice system and resolve them more quickly and more cost effectively;
2. Uniform standards for medical liability claims including:
   a. Mandatory periodic payment of damage awards exceeding $250,000;
   b. Mandatory offsets for collateral sources; and
   c. Limitation of contingency fees based upon a sliding scale
3. Filing an affidavit by an expert witness stating that the standard of care was violated or that malpractice has occurred prior to filing a medical malpractice lawsuit.
4. Equal access to the treating physicians and their records for the plaintiff's and the defendant’s attorneys.
5. That evidence with respect to a punitive damage claim be heard separately from the main suit.
6. That Virginia’s "I’m Sorry" legislation should allow statements expressing "apology, sympathy, commiseration, condolence, compassion, or a general sense of benevolence" so that physicians are not inhibited from communicating with their patients regarding their medical care.
7. Procedural and evidentiary legislation that better enables fairness and equity in the defense of a medical liability case, which may include legislative initiatives from the following:
   a. Amending the “dead man’s statutes” to clarify that a treating physician may testify as to the health care and professional services rendered to a deceased patient;
   b. Amending Va. Code §8.01-399 regarding physician communications to eliminate the requirement that documentation must be contemporaneously entered in the patient’s chart;
   c. Clarifying that medical malpractice cases have to be filed in the jurisdiction where the care is rendered;
   d. Requiring that medical malpractices cases be served within one year of the date they are filed in Court or else they are dismissed with prejudice;
   e. Limiting the exceptions to the statute requiring expert witness certification so they only apply to retention of foreign bodies and wrong site surgeries;
   f. Amending the “habit and customs statute” to clarify that a defendant physician may rely on this in defense of a wrongful death action;
   g. Requiring a pre-trial scheduling order and in a medical malpractice case requiring a plaintiff to designate expert witnesses soon after serving the motion for judgment as opposed to 90 days prior to trial; and
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h. Amending the "expert witness statute" to give trial court judges the ability to review the expert witness certification obtained by plaintiff’s counsel,

8. Pilot programs that allow privileged early disclosure of adverse medical outcomes. Furthermore, the Medical Society of Virginia opposes adoption of a comparative negligence doctrine, and believes that in a claim for contributory negligence, the negligence of the plaintiff does not have to coincide in time with that of the defendant.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

435.016 - Legislation, Standards of Care and the Patient/Physician Relationship

Date: 11/2/2012

The Medical Society of Virginia will oppose or work to favorably amend legislation, regardless of its primary intent, that interferes with or jeopardizes the sanctity of the patient/physician relationship or is in conflict with or contrary to broadly accepted, evidence-based standards of care identified by credible medical organizations such as the American Medical Association or the specialties and sub-specialties recognized by the American Board of Medical Specialties.

435.017 - Medical Insurance Payment Guidelines and the Standard of Care

Date: 08/14/2014

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislative efforts to ensure that no payment standard or reimbursement criteria developed or implemented by any public or private payer shall be construed as an appropriate standard of care or legal basis for negligence or duty of care owed by a health care provider to a patient in any civil action for medical malpractice or product liability.

440.000 Public Health and Preventive Medicine

440.001 - Childhood Immunization Schedule

Date: 11/6/2005

The Medical Society of Virginia supports that the Code of Virginia Section regarding childhood immunizations schedules be consistent with the most current, commonly agreed upon immunization recommendation by the American Academy of Pediatrics, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American Academy of Family Physicians as the required schedule for the immunization of infants and for school entry in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Reaffirmed as amended 10/25/2015

440.003 - Cooperation with Local Health Departments

Date: 11/3/1990

The Medical Society of Virginia encourages its local component societies to work cooperatively with local health departments to provide health care to all levels of the medically indigent in order to prevent the duplication of services and to conserve limited health care resources.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012
440.006 - Funding for Vaccines
Date: 10/30/1993
The Medical Society of Virginia supports efforts by the Commonwealth of Virginia and the State Health Commissioner to fund the purchase of necessary vaccines and the provision of such vaccines to private practitioners.
Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014

440.007 - Funding of Lead Poisoning Program
Date: 11/5/1994
The Medical Society of Virginia requests that the Commonwealth of Virginia continue funding Virginia’s lead poisoning program.
Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

440.008 - High Blood Pressure Screening
Date: 11/4/1995
The Medical Society of Virginia believes in regular screening for high blood pressure.
Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed as amended 10/25/2015

440.009 - Immunizations for all Students Entering College
Date: 10/30/1993
The Medical Society of Virginia supports the immunization recommendations of the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American Academy of Family Physicians and the Centers for Disease Control for students entering institutions of higher education.
Reaffirmed 11/5/2006
Reaffirmed as amended 10/16/2016

440.011 - Increase in Staffing of Medical Death Investigators
Date: 11/6/2005
The Medical Society of Virginia supports maintaining full staffing, as defined by the Chief Medical Examiner, of medical death investigators so that the Commonwealth of Virginia can provide a 24/7 death investigation system within the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner.
Reaffirmed 11/5/2006
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015
440.012 - Information and Education
Date: 11/4/1995
The Medical Society of Virginia supports teaching prevention and control of sexually transmitted diseases in public, private and parochial schools.
Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

440.013 - Local Plans
Date: 11/3/1990
The Medical Society of Virginia encourages local component societies to work with their local health departments and local hospitals to develop plans to provide medical care for the medically indigent in their localities.
Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

440.015 - Mandatory Reporting of E. Coli Foodborne Illnesses to State Health Department
Date: 11/8/1997
MSV supports the requirement that all cases of food borne Shiga-like toxin positive E. Coli-associated illness be reported to the State Health Department on a mandatory basis.
Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

440.016 - Medical Examiner System
Date: 11/9/1991
The Medical Society of Virginia recognizes and commends the Medical Examiner system in the Commonwealth and will take active steps to promote physician participation in this worthy public service.
Reaffirmed 11/4/2001
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

440.017 - Oppose Sale of Raw Milk in the Commonwealth
Date: 11/6/2005
The Medical Society of Virginia supports the requirement for the pasteurization of all milk and cheese products derived from both cows and goats in the Commonwealth of Virginia and opposes any legislation that would allow the direct sale of raw milk products to individual consumers.
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

440.018 - Prevent Blindness Virginia
Date: 10/31/1998
The Medical Society of Virginia acknowledges and endorses Prevent Blindness Virginia’s efforts to develop broad-based support from those agencies involved in children’s health and development programs and supports the adoption of statewide screening of Virginia public school children using the Prevent Blindness Virginia’s standardized screening protocol.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

440.019 - Public Health

Date: 11/5/1994

The MSV strongly supports legislation to strengthen the infrastructure of the Public Health System in Virginia, and to provide an equitable, stable and adequate source of funding to accomplish this.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

440.020 - Reallocation from General Fund for Preventive Health

Date: 10/31/1992

The Medical Society of Virginia supports treating the promotion of preventive medicine, public health, and primary care as a priority in the allocation of revenues from the General Fund.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

440.021 - Regulation of Tattoo Parlors

Date: 11/4/2001

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation and/or regulation to require that all commercial tattoo parlors and those individuals applying the tattoos be registered with an appropriate state regulatory board and that all methods employed in the application of tattoos be certified as free of potential contamination.

Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

440.023 - Sales Tax Increase for Alcohol

Date: 10/31/1992

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation to raise the state tax on alcohol and to use the monies generated through this increase in tax to promote preventive medicine, public health and primary care.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

440.025 - Screening/Follow-up

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the Virginia Department of Health and other legitimate organization’s efforts to control communicable disease and to screen for these diseases particularly in high incidence groups.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
440.026 - State Funding For Childhood Vaccines

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the State Health Department in seeking funding to purchase vaccines to be administered in physicians’ offices to all children.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014

440.028 - Indoor Tanning Regulation

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia supports efforts to educate the public about the health risks of indoor tanning and endorses legislation that would ban minors from utilizing tanning beds.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed as amended 10/25/2015

440.029 - Uranium Mining in Virginia

Date: 10/12/2008

The Medical Society of Virginia supports continuing the moratorium on uranium mining in Virginia until there is satisfactory evidence that it will not constitute a public health hazard.

440.030 - Promoting Awareness of Babesiosis

Date: 10/25/2009

The Medical Society of Virginia supports any efforts to enhance health care providers’ awareness of Babesiosis.

440.031 - Smoking Education

Date: 10/30/1993

The Medical Society of Virginia shall work actively to disseminate relevant medical information about the health hazards and health costs of smoking.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2003
Reaffirmed 05/31/2014

440.032 - Nonclinical Antibiotic Usage in Livestock

Date: 5/31/2014

The Medical Society of Virginia (MSV) opposes the routine use of antibiotics in livestock for nonclinical reasons and supports legislative and other measures that phase out the use of antibiotics in livestock for nonclinical use.
440.033 - Non-Medical Exemption Requirements for Vaccines

Date: 10/25/2015

The Medical Society of Virginia (MSV) supports legislation that would eliminate all non-medical vaccine exemptions in Virginia.

440.034 - Eradicating Food Deserts and Food Insecurity

Date: 10/25/2015

The Medical Society of Virginia (MSV) supports efforts to reduce or eliminate food deserts and food insecurity in Virginia.

450.000 Quality of Care

450.001 - Quality Assurance Bodies

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes any legislative program which would encourage the dismantling of hospital staffs or other quality assurance bodies deemed appropriate by the medical profession.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

450.002 - Reducing Medical Errors

Date: 11/7/2004

The Medical Society of Virginia encourages physicians to pursue continuing education that includes training in patient safety and risk management

Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

450.003 - Restraint of Appropriate Use of Services

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes any legislation which would restrain the appropriate use of needed medical services.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

450.004 - Virginia Health Quality Center

Date: 4/7/2000

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the Virginia Health Quality Center in its pursuit of health care quality improvement.
455.000 Radiation and Radiology

455.001 - Radiation Control; Needless Exposure

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia supports methods and practices of radiation control that will reduce needless exposure of patients and workers to ionizing radiation.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

455.002 - Ionizing Radiation; Patient Education

Date: 10/16/2016

The Medical Society of Virginia encourages and facilitates the voluntary distribution of information from the American College of Radiology on radiation safety concerns to patients in waiting areas of facilities in Virginia where radiologic or nuclear medicine procedures are ordered or performed using educational media.

460.000 Research

460.001 - Animal Research

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the need for the use of animals in research.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

465.000 Rural Health

465.001 - Access to Obstetrical Care

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia encourages the Commonwealth of Virginia:

(1) to study commercial insurance reimbursement policies that may contribute to the maldistribution of obstetrical care in Virginia,

(2) to study the barriers in Virginia that have resulted in the reduced number of family physicians doing obstetrics in Virginia,

(3) to study the feasibility of implementing in Virginia a program similar to the North Carolina Rural Obstetrical Incentive Program for obstetricians, family physicians, and nurse midwives that reduces the cost of obstetrical malpractice insurance in areas of need.
465.002 - Assistance with New Practice Expenses

Date: 11/9/1991
The Medical Society of Virginia encourages the establishment of community credit sources or an endorsement authority for a physician's new practice expenses.

Reaffirmed 11/4/2001
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

465.003 - Rural Health Transportation

Date: 11/9/1991
The Medical Society of Virginia supports the Medical Transport System, particularly in underserved areas.

Reaffirmed 11/4/2001
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

470.000 Sports and Physical Fitness

470.001 - Ban on Boxing

Date: 11/8/1997
The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation to ban boxing in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

470.002 - Determination of Fitness to Return to Work

Date: 11/5/1994
The Medical Society of Virginia opposes the use of persons other than doctors of medicine or osteopathy, or agents under their supervision, to attest to an employee's fitness to return to work.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

470.003 - Employment at Secondary School Level

Date: 11/5/1994
The Medical Society of Virginia supports employment of athletic trainers on the secondary school level.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

470.004 - Hot Boxing
Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes the practice of "hot boxing" or any similar process of dangerous, rapid weight reduction.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

470.005 - NATA's Certification Process

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia recognizes the National Athletic Trainers’ Association (NATA) as the official organization for athletic trainers and supports its certification procedures and certification board.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

470.006 - Promote Physical Fitness; Schools

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society endorses activities and will support legislation which would promote daily physical fitness in the K-12 school environment as well as in other areas.

Reaffirmed 11/5/2006
Reaffirmed 10/16/2016

475.000 Taxes

475.001 - Opposition to Provider Tax

Date: 10/31/1992

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes the use of a "provider tax," certificate tax, or professional licensure tax.

The Medical Society of Virginia shall make known its alarm that physicians, hospitals, pharmacists, nurses and other health care providers might be targeted for special and unfair taxation to meet the obligations of all the citizens of the Commonwealth.

The Medical Society of Virginia shall continue to explore, with appropriate State authorities, alternative and appropriate means of providing all necessary medical services for all citizens of the Commonwealth other than through provider taxes.

Reaffirmed 10/30/2011

475.002 - Business, Professional and Occupational License (BPOL) Tax

Date: 1/21/2012

The Medical Society of Virginia supports reform of the Business, Professional and Occupational License (BPOL) tax.
480.000  Technology

480.001 - State Funding for Electronic Health Information Systems

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV actively supports and endorses continued state funding of electronic health information systems that improve access and communication of health information with protection of patient confidentiality.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

480.002 - Electronic Prescribing

Date: 10/12/2008

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the concept of electronic prescribing, but strongly condemns a funding structure that financially penalizes physicians for not utilizing such technology.

480.003 - Electronic Medical Record Mutual Interaction

Date: 10/25/2009

The Medical Society of Virginia supports as a legislative priority measures to optimize and require interoperability and other ways of communicating essential patient data between electronic medical record systems.

485.000  Telemedicine

485.001 - Establishing a Physician-Patient Relationship via Telemedicine

Date 10/26/2014

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the following principles:

1. A physician-patient relationship with prescribing can only be established via telemedicine if the encounter:
   a. Provides information equivalent to an in-person exam,
   b. Conforms to the standard of care expected of in-person care (for example, if a component of a physical examination is generally the considered standard of care in diagnosing and treating a particular condition, then such a physical examination must also be performed), including through the use of peripheral devices appropriate to the patient's condition,
   c. Incorporates diagnostic tests sufficient to provide an accurate diagnosis (for example, if a diagnostic test is required for an accurate diagnosis of strep throat or urinary tract infection, then such diagnostic test should be performed), or
   d. There is a duly licensed practitioner (such as a nurse, NP, PA, or physician) as a telepresenter with the patient.
2. A physician-patient relationship resulting in prescribing cannot be established through an examination by telephone (audio-only) or email, except in cases of public health emergency as determined by the Secretary of Health and the Commissioner of Health.

3. Such regulation outlined above shall not prohibit currently accepted on-call or cross coverage practices.

485.002 - Reimbursement of Telemedicine and Disclosure of Ownership Interests in Telemedicine Companies

Date 10/26/2014

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the following principles and will pursue appropriate strategies to enact these principles, including but not limited to direct negotiation with third party payers, regulation through the Board of Medicine, or, if necessary, through state legislation:

1. Physicians should receive appropriate reimbursement for telemedicine encounters for patients with whom they have an established physician-patient relationship.

2. Any financial or equity arrangements between insurance companies and direct-to-consumer telemedicine companies should be fully disclosed to patients.

485.003 - Telemedicine Records

Date: 10/16/2016

The Medical Society of Virginia will develop legislation and/or regulations requiring entities providing telemedicine services outside of a patient’s primary medical setting to ask the patient to identify a physician or care setting of record and to provide that clinical setting with a full record of the provided telemedicine service. The MSV will explore the feasibility of including such legislation and/or regulations in the 2017 legislative agenda.

The Medical Society of Virginia will educate and advocate to MSV members on the use and implementation of telemedicine and other related technology in their practices to improve access, convenience, and continuity of care for their patients.

505.000 Tobacco: Prohibitions on Sale and Use

505.001 - Legislation Restricting Tobacco Use/Indoors

Date: 11/9/1991

The Medical Society of Virginia supports the Virginia Indoor Clean Air Act.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

505.002 - Legislation Restricting Tobacco Use

Date: 11/11/1989

The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation in the General Assembly to restrict tobacco use in Virginia.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012
505.003 - Legislation to Increase Cigarette Tax

Date: 11/4/2002

The Medical Society of Virginia strongly supports a significant tobacco tax increase as a measure to reduce tobacco use in our population. The Medical Society of Virginia supports legislation which would require that funds generated by an increase in the state tobacco tax be used to support health related programs for the citizens in the Commonwealth.

Reaffirmed 11/2/2012

505.004 - Public Indoor Spaces; Passive Smoke Inhalation


The Medical Society of Virginia specifically supports legislative efforts to eliminate tobacco smoke in public places and places of employment in order to protect Virginians from the hazards of passive smoke inhalation.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

505.005 - Repeal of Local Ordinances

Date: 11/11/1989

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes any legislation designed to force repeal of any ordinances already in place to protect the public from secondhand smoke.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

505.006 - Sales to Children

Date: 11/11/1989

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes the sale of tobacco products to children under the age of 18, opposes access by children under the age of 18 to vending machines containing tobacco products, and opposes the use of tobacco products by children under the age of 18 in public places, including schools and school grounds.

Reaffirmed 10/25/2009

505.007 - Sales/Smoking in Health Care Facilities

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia recommends that hospitals and health care facilities in the Commonwealth of Virginia prohibit the sale of tobacco products through gift shops, vending machines or other patient and visitor services, and that smoking in hospitals by employees, medical staff, patients, and visitors be prohibited and/or regulated in a manner consistent with the health care mission of the provider.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015
505.009 - Smoking on School Property

Date: 11/8/1997

The Medical Society of Virginia urges state and local school boards to prohibit smoking and other forms of tobacco use on school property.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

505.010 - Electronic Nicotine Delivery Devices

Date: 5/31/2014

The Medical Society of Virginia (MSV) supports legislation and Food and Drug Administration action to tax, label and regulate electronic nicotine delivery devices (ENDS) as tobacco products and drug delivery devices. Further, the MSV supports state and federal legislation that restricts the minimum age, locations of permissible use, advertising, promotion, and sponsorship of ENDS to the same restrictions as that of tobacco products. The MSV supports local, state and national efforts to require transparency and disclosure concerning the design, content and emissions of ENDS; to require secure, child-proof, tamper-proof packaging and design of ENDS; and to require enhanced labelling that warns of the potential consequences of ENDS use, restriction of ENDS marketing as tobacco cessation tools, and restriction of the use of characterizing flavors in ENDS. The MSV encourages basic, clinical, and epidemiological research concerning ENDS.

505.011 - Tobacco use in Cars with Minors

Date: 10/25/2015

The Medical Society of Virginia supports statewide legislative efforts to make it illegal for anyone to smoke tobacco in a car with a minor inside of the car.

515.000  Violence and Abuse

515.001 - Anti-Domestic Violence Statement

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia opposes any type of domestic violence and supports the inclusion of educational material regarding resources, criminal laws, and prevention in government publications related to marriage and families.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed as amended 10/25/2015

515.002 - Non Peaceful Protests

Date: 11/5/1994

The MSV abhors the use of non-peaceful protests against physicians.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014
515.003 - Physicians’ Role in Violence Prevention

Date: 11/8/1997

MSV recognizes violence as a medical problem that should be of active concern to physicians. MSV will promote physician education regarding the epidemiology, recognition, and prevention of violence and actively explore other ways to educate patients, the public, and payers.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

530.000 MSV: Administration and Organization

530.001 - COI Policy

Date: 11/5/1994

The Officers, Directors, Associate Directors, Vice Speaker and Executive Vice President of The Medical Society of Virginia should avoid any conflict of interest regarding MSV and should fully and immediately disclose any conflict of interest that they might have in connection with any transaction with or related to The Medical Society of Virginia.

GUIDELINES:

1. Any person subject to this policy shall exercise the utmost good faith in all transactions touching upon their duties to MSV. In their dealings with and on behalf of MSV, they shall be held to a strict rule of honest and fair dealing.

2. The acts of any person subject to this policy on behalf of MSV shall be in the best interest of MSV.

3. Any person subject to this policy shall not accept any gifts, favors, payments or things of value that might influence their decision-making or actions affecting the MSV.

4. Although a duality of interests may exist from time to time, such duality shall not be permitted to influence adversely the decision-making process of MSV. Any person subject to this policy shall promptly report the possible existence of a conflict of interest for himself/herself or any other person subject to this policy to MSV’s President or Executive Vice President.

5. When a conflict of interest exists, the person with the duality of interest shall remove himself/herself from involvement in any decision-making process, and shall not act on behalf of MSV in connection with such issue or decision.

6. A full disclosure of all facts pertaining to any transaction that is subject to any doubt concerning the possible existence of a conflict of interest shall be made before consummating the transaction.

7. Any person subject to this policy shall adhere to this policy and complete an Annual Disclosure Questionnaire as a condition of board membership or employment.

8. Any disagreement or dispute with regard to the existence of a conflict of interest shall be resolved by MSV’s Executive Committee upon the request of any MSV Board Member or the Executive Vice President.
A. Each year the Executive Vice President shall send to each person subject to this policy a copy of this policy and a Disclosure Questionnaire to be completed and returned.

B. An appropriate report shall be submitted to the MSV Board of Directors regarding any interests disclosed in the questionnaire.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed as amended 10/26/2014

530.002 - Communications with Local Medical Societies

Date: 10/31/1998

Each local medical society executive director or secretary, or in cases where there is no staff, society presidents or secretaries, will be listed on the MSV membership roster for purposes of receiving all mailings that go to MSV physician members.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

530.004 - Medical Student Society Reorganization

Date: 11/8/1997

The MSV is committed to the inclusion of medical students at all levels of the decision or policy making process affecting all physicians in the State. The MSV Medical Student Section will provide a forum within the MSV for the exchange of information among students and their more senior colleagues.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

530.005 - MSV-Local Society Collaboration

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia staff will contact and work collaboratively with local societies on issues (especially those relating to managed care and insurance company policies) affecting their particular part of the state.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

530.006 - Specialty Society Inclusion in Legislative Policy

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society of Virginia will request inclusion of representatives of the affiliated specialty societies in the MSV's decision process for legislative action whenever the specialty society or its constituency has a public and vested interest in proposed legislation in the General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Reaffirmed 10/12/2008

545.000 MSV: House of Delegates
545.001 - Fall Meeting

Date: 11/8/1997

The annual meeting of the Medical Society shall continue to be held in the fall.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

545.002 - First Year Delegates Instructional Meeting

Date: 11/8/1997

An annual instructional meeting with the first year delegates shall be conducted prior to the first session of the House of Delegates.

Reaffirmed 10/28/2007

545.003 - Procedures of the House of Delegates of MSV

Date: 11/4/1995

The Medical Society of Virginia adopts the “Procedures of the House of Delegates” as the official source for the conduct of the MSV Annual Meeting.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

545.004 - Financial Reports

Date: 11/4/1995

A full accounting/audit for fiscal year shall be available at each Annual Meeting. A report of year-to-date financial results, as well as the audit report accepted by the Board of Directors for the most recent completed fiscal year, can be made available to any MSV member upon request.

Reaffirmed 11/06/2005
Reaffirmed as amended 10/25/2015

555.000 MSV: Membership and Dues

555.001 - Academic Membership Agreement

Date: 10/31/1998

The Medical Society may offer, with the approval of the Board of Directors, a special dues program with Virginia’s academic medical centers for physicians in a full time academic setting.

Reaffirmed: 10/12/2008

555.003 - AMA Recruitment of Large Groups; Discounts and other Incentives

Date: 11/5/1994
The MSV invites the AMA to recruit large groups (greater than 100 members) using discounts or other incentives as deemed appropriate. This invitation is extended on the condition that presentations of such initiatives will take place in person and that MSV and appropriate component societies will be invited to jointly participate in such presentations.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

555.004 - Use of the Term Physician

Date: 11/6/2005

The term "physician" shall be referred to as "physician (M.D. or D.O.)," when referencing membership criteria of the Medical Society of Virginia.

Reaffirmed 10/25/2015

560.000  MSV: Officers - Nomination, Election and Tenure

560.002 - Honorary Membership to Outgoing Past President

Date: 1/22/2000

The Medical Society of Virginia will grant honorary Society membership to the outgoing president.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010

560.003 - President's Role; Guidelines for Others

Date: 11/5/1994

The Medical Society of Virginia believes that in legislative matters:

A. The President is the official spokesman for The Medical Society of Virginia.

B. The Society's lobbyists will keep the President informed and represent the official position when the President is not available.

C. Medical Society members who speak on behalf of the President or the Society will represent only the official position of the Medical Society.

Reaffirmed 11/7/2004
Reaffirmed 10/26/2014

560.004 - Statement of Individual Board Member's Responsibility

Date: 1/22/2000

Members of the MSV Board of Directors will uphold the duties and responsibilities outlined in the MSV Board of Directors Handbook and its appendices.

Reaffirmed as amended 10/16/2016
560.005 - Statement of Responsibilities of the Board of Directors as a Whole

Date: 1/22/2000

The MSV Board of Directors will uphold the duties and responsibilities outlined in the MSV Board of Directors Handbook and its appendices.

Reaffirmed 10/24/2010
Reaffirmed as amended 10/16/2016